



Qigong for Hypertension: A Systematic Review

Citation

Xiong, Xingjiang, Pengqian Wang, Xiaoke Li, and Yuqing Zhang. 2015. "Qigong for Hypertension: A Systematic Review." Medicine 94 (1): e352. doi:10.1097/MD.00000000000352. http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.00000000000352.

Published Version

doi:10.1097/MD.000000000000352

Permanent link

http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:23473945

Terms of Use

This article was downloaded from Harvard University's DASH repository, and is made available under the terms and conditions applicable to Other Posted Material, as set forth at http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:dash.current.terms-of-use#LAA

Share Your Story

The Harvard community has made this article openly available. Please share how this access benefits you. <u>Submit a story</u>.

Accessibility

Qigong for Hypertension

A Systematic Review

Xingjiang Xiong, MD, Pengqian Wang, MD, Xiaoke Li, MD, and Yuqing Zhang, MD

Abstract: The purpose of this review was to evaluate the efficacy and safety of qigong for hypertension.

A systematic literature search was performed in 7 databases from their respective inceptions until April 2014, including the Cochrane Library, EMBASE, PubMed, Chinese Scientific Journal Database, Chinese Biomedical Literature Database, Wanfang database, and Chinese National Knowledge Infrastructure. Randomized controlled trials of qigong as either monotherapy or adjunctive therapy with antihypertensive drugs versus no intervention, exercise, or antihypertensive drugs for hypertension were identified. The risk of bias was assessed using the tool described in *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Review of Interventions*, version 5.1.0.

Twenty trials containing 2349 hypertensive patients were included in the meta-analysis. The risk of bias was generally high. Compared with no intervention, qigong significantly reduced systolic blood pressure (SBP) (weighted mean difference [WMD] = -17.40 mm Hg, 95%confidence interval [CI] -21.06 to -13.74, P < 0.00001) and diastolic blood pressure (DBP) (WMD = -10.15 mm Hg, 95% CI -13.99 to -6.30, P < 0.00001). Qigong was inferior to exercise in decreasing SBP (WMD = 6.51 mm Hg, 95% CI 2.81 to 10.21, P = 0.0006), but no significant difference between the effects of qigong and exercise on DBP (WMD = 0.67 mm Hg, 95% CI -1.39 to 2.73, P = 0.52) was identified. Compared with antihypertensive drugs, qigong produced a clinically meaningful but not statistically significant reduction in SBP (WMD = -7.91 mm Hg, 95% CI -16.81 to 1.00, P = 0.08), but appeared to be more effective in lowering DBP (WMD = -6.08 mmHg, 95% CI -9.58 to -2.58, P = 0.0007). Qigong plus antihypertensive drugs significantly lowered both SBP (WMD = -11.99 mm Hg, 95% CI

-15.59 to -8.39, P < 0.00001) and DBP (WMD = -5.28 mm Hg, 95% CI, -8.13 to -2.42, P = 0.0003) compared with antihypertensive drugs alone. No serious adverse events were reported.

The meta-analysis suggests that qigong is an effective therapy for hypertension. However, more rigorously designed randomized controlled trials with long-term follow-up focusing on hard clinical outcomes are required to confirm the results.

(*Medicine* 94(1):e352)

Abbreviations: AE = adverse event, BP = blood pressure, CAM = complementary and alternative medicine, CI = confidence interval, DBP = diastolic blood pressure, QOL = quality of life, RCT = randomized controlled trial, SBP = systolic blood pressure, TCM = traditional Chinese medicine, WMD = weighted mean difference.

INTRODUCTION

ypertension is a common condition in the general population that remains one of the most important risk factors for cardiovascular disease and stroke worldwide. For patients not currently undergoing treatment with antihypertensive agents, hypertension is typically characterized by systolic blood pressure (SBP) > 140 mm Hg and/or diastolic blood pressure $(DBP) \ge 90 \text{ mm Hg}$ on at least 2 separate intervals (after a 4week washout period).¹ Blood pressure (BP) control in hypertensive patients is an effective intervention for reducing hypertension-associated cardiovascular and renal complications. Achieving BP control in hypertensive patients often requires multiple medications and trial-and-error switching of drug classes.² Hundreds of compounds representing multiple drug classes were developed nearly 50 years ago. The guidelines on hypertension released by the Eighth Joint National Committee (JNC 8) reflect an evidence-based approach in recommending a therapeutic regimen that includes a healthy diet, weight control, regular exercise, and appropriate initial and supplemental anti-hypertensive therapy.³ There has been a revival of interest in complementary and alternative medicine (CAM) approaches for lowering BP⁴⁻⁶ in part because control rates for hypertension remain dismal despite the vast armamentarium of antihypertensive agents available to clinicians.³ In some cases, hypertensive patients can benefit from drug therapy optimization and combination therapy. In some patients, however, adequate BP control cannot be achieved by these approaches. Another major impetus for current clinical trials using CAM as a therapeutic strategy is the many adverse effects of long-term conventional antihypertensive drugs.⁸ Therefore, some traditional therapies, including qigong,⁹ tai chi,¹⁰ acupunc-ture,¹¹ moxibustion,¹² yoga,¹³ massage,¹⁴ dietary supple-ments,¹⁵ and herbal products,^{16,17} are increasingly favored by hypertensive patients with the hope of lowering BP and relieving hypertension-related symptoms with fewer adverse effects.

Qigong is an ancient Chinese healing art that dates back 7000 years.¹⁸ The word "qigong" involves 2 theories: "qi,"

Editor: Angela Johnson.

Received: May 10, 2014; revised: November 14, 2014; accepted: November 19, 2014.

From the Department of Cardiology, Guang'anmen Hospital, China Academy of Chinese Medical Sciences (XX); Bio-organic and Natural Products Laboratory, McLean Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Belmont, MA, USA (XL); Institute of Basic Research in Clinical Medicine, China Academy of Chinese Medical Sciences (PW), Beijing, China; Bio-organic and Natural Products Laboratory, McLean Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Belmont, MA; and Department of Clinical Epidemiology and Biostatistics, McMaster University, Hamilton, ON, Canada (YZ).

Correspondence: Xingjiang Xiong, Department of Cardiology, Guang'anmen Hospital, China Academy of Chinese Medical Sciences, Beixiange 5#, Xicheng District, Beijing 100053, China (e-mail: xiongxing jiangtcm@163.com).

The study was financially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation Project of China (No. 81403375).

XX conceived the idea, contributed to study design and interpreted the data. WP, LX, and ZY conducted the literature searches and evaluated the methodological quality. XX made the analysis, having full access to all of the data in this study and taking responsibility for the integrity and accuracy of the data analysis. He also drafted the article, which was reviewed and commented by WP, LX, and ZY.

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

Copyright © 2015 Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. All rights reserved.

This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. ISSN: 0025-7974

DOI: 10.1097/MD.00000000000352

the vital energy of the body, and "gong," the training or cultivation of qi. 19 The practice of qigong aims to cultivate energy via systematic training exercises, including the coordination of different breathing patterns, rhythmic movements, and meditation, in contrast to conventional exercise.²⁰ Due to its significant promotion of human health and ease of learning, qigong is appropriate for nearly anyone of any age or physical condition. Qigong has its underpinnings in traditional Chinese medicine (TCM) and philosophy. One possible explanation for the beneficial effects of qigong exercise is increased healthy flow of qi, blood, and fluid throughout the body by repetitive movements to relieve pathological stagnation and regulate the function of meridians and visceral organs. Qigong is practiced by 5% of China's 1.3 billion people to improve health, explore the latent ability of humans, prevent disease, and prolong life in the context of a wide range of conditions, including hyper-tension,^{21,22} heart failure,²³ coronary heart disease,²⁴ cardiac rehabilitation,²⁵ cancer,²⁶ diabetes,²⁷ metabolic syndrome,²⁸ chronic obstructive pulmonary disease,²⁹ movement dis-orders,³⁰ chronic pain,³¹ fatigue,³² stress,³³ anxiety,³⁴ depres-sion,³⁵ and immune function³⁶ and to enhance the quality of life (OOL) of patients with other abternia diseases,³⁷ (QOL) of patients with other chronic diseases.³⁷

There is increasing evidence that qigong can benefit hypertensive patients, and clinical studies and systematic reviews of the efficacy of qigong as a useful lifestyle intervention for hypertension are ongoing. Qigong has been reported to decrease BP smoothly and moderately, recover the circadian rhythm of BP, improve QOL, slow the progression of severe complications, and reduce mortality. $^{9,21,22,38-40}$ Until now, positive evidence of BP reduction by qigong has been summarized in 2 systematic reviews published in English based on the poor quality of the primary data.^{21,22} However, due to different study designs, 4 main databases in Chinese were not included in the retrieval randomized controlled trials (RCTs); thus, these 2 systematic reviews are subject to insufficient literature retrieval.⁴¹ For health practitioners, the appropriateness of recommending qigong as an effective therapy for hypertension remains unclear. This study aims to minimize bias and provide more-reliable findings by using explicit and systematic methods. To determine whether gigong safely benefits hypertensive patients, we performed a systematic review and meta-analysis of RCTs of qigong for hypertension.

METHODS

This systematic review was conducted in accordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses guidelines. Ethical approval was not necessary for this review study.

ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA

Types of Studies

Only RCTs evaluating the effects of qigong on hypertension were considered. Animal experiments were not included.

Types of Participants

Participants of any sex, age, and ethnic origin were clinically diagnosed as hypertensive in any stage according to at least 1 of the current or past guidelines or definitions of hypertension. Trials that declared patients with hypertension but without detailed information about the diagnostic criteria were also considered.

Types of Interventions

Eligible RCTs of qigong practiced alone or in combination with antihypertensive drugs versus no intervention, exercise, or antihypertensive drugs for hypertension were identified without restriction of blinding, publication status, or language. We excluded trials if interventions in the qigong or control groups contained other nonconventional therapies, including herbal medicine, acupuncture, moxibustion, cupping, and massage; studies reported only laboratory values rather than BP outcomes; or studies were case reports, case series, and duplicated publications reporting the same group of participants.

Types of Outcome Measures

All trials used categorical or continuous BP as the outcome measure. The efficacy of gigong on categorical BP was evaluated using 3 graded criteria, as authoritatively recommended by the China Food and Drug Administration (available at http://www. sda.gov.cn) and the China National Committee on Screening, and Prevention of Coronary Heart Disease and High Blood Pressure of 1974.^{22,42} The evaluation criteria were as follows: "significant improvement" (DBP decreased by 10 mm Hg reaching the normal range or DBP not yet returned to normal but reduced by 20 mm Hg or more), "improvement" (DBP decreased by <10 mm Hg but reaching the normal range, DBP decreased by 10 to 19 mm Hg but not reaching the normal range, or SBP decreased by 30 mm Hg or more), and "no improvement" (not reaching the above standards). To permit the overall synthesis of these enumeration data, we grouped these data dichotomously, "significant improvement" and "improvement" as "effective" and "no improvement" as "ineffective."

Search Strategy

A systematic search was conducted in the following 7 online electronic databases from their inception until April 17, 2014: Cochrane Library (1996-2014), EMBASE (1980-2014), PubMed (1959-2014), Chinese Scientific Journal Database (1989-2014), Chinese Biomedical Literature Database (1978-2014), Wanfang database (1985-2014), and Chinese National Knowledge Infrastructure (1979-2014). We also reviewed the reference lists of retrieved articles. The websites of the Chinese Clinical Trial Registry (http://www.chictr.org/) and the international clinical trial registry of the US National Institutes of Health (http://clinicaltrials.gov/) were also searched to identify unpublished clinical trials. The following keywords were used to search the databases: ("hypertension" OR "high blood pressure" OR "blood pressure") AND ("qigong" OR "qi gong" OR "chi gong" OR "chi kung") AND ("clinical trial" OR "randomized controlled trial" OR "randomised controlled trial").

Study Selection

Two reviewers independently identified the potential literature and selected studies in a standardized manner. Then, the titles and abstracts of the references were screened for potentially relevant RCTs. The full texts of eligible articles were retrieved for further identification according to the specified selection criteria. Disagreements were resolved by consultation or consensus with a third reviewer.

Data Extraction

Two reviewers independently extracted the following data: general information: authors, title, publication data, and

literature source; characteristics of the included trials and patients: randomization, allocation concealment, blinding, intention-to-treat analysis, dropouts or withdrawals, sample size, age, sex, diagnosis standard, interventions of each group, and duration of treatment; outcomes: BP data at the baseline and after treatment; length and frequency of follow-up; and adverse events (AEs). Missing information was obtained from the original authors whenever possible.

Quality Assessment

Two reviewers independently assessed the risk of bias in each included trial using Cochrane Collaboration's tool from the *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Review of Interventions*, version 5.1.0.⁴³ The following 7 aspects were evaluated: random sequence generation (selection bias), allocation concealment (selection bias), blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias), blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias), incomplete outcome data (attrition bias), selective reporting (reporting bias), and other bias (from Chapter 8: assessing risk of bias in included studies). Each item was categorized as low/high/unclear risk of bias. Then, the trials were categorized into 3 levels: low risk of bias (all items were at low risk of bias), high risk of bias (at least 1 item was at high risk for bias), and unclear risk of bias (at least 1 item was at unclear risk of bias).

Data Synthesis

The Review Manager software (RevMan, version 5.1; The Nordic Cochrane Centre, The Cochrane Collaboration, Copenhagen, 2011) was used for data synthesis and analysis. Both dichotomous and continuous outcomes were extracted from the primary trials without any conversion. Dichotomous data were presented as risk ratio and continuous outcomes as weighted mean difference (WMD), both with a 95% confidence interval (CI). A meta-analysis was performed if the outcomes were similar in clinical characteristics. Heterogeneity between trials was recognized as significant when $I^2 > 50\%$ or P < 0.1. The fixed-effects model was used to analyze data with low heterogeneity (heterogeneity test, $P \ge 0.10$), whereas the randomeffects model was applied if heterogeneity was significant (heterogeneity test, P < 0.10). Subgroup analysis was conducted according to the types of comparisons. Publication bias was assessed by funnel plot analysis if the group included > 10 trials.⁴³

RESULTS

Study Identification

We identified 593 articles on qigong for hypertension from electronic and manual searches. By reading the titles and abstracts, we excluded 235 duplicates of the articles that were included in different databases and 303 articles that were clearly review articles, experts' commentaries, case reports, case series, or other nonclinical studies. A total of 55 full-text articles were retrieved for further assessment, of which 35 were excluded for the following reasons: participants did not meet the inclusion criteria (n = 23); duplication (n = 5); no control group (n = 3); intervention included other medical therapies, such as acupuncture, moxibustion, massage, and external application (n=3); and no data for extraction (n = 1). Ultimately, 20 studies were included in this review.⁴⁴⁻⁶³ All trials were conducted in 2 countries (China, n = 18; South Korea, n = 2) and were published between 1982 and 2013 in 2 languages (Chinese, n = 17; English, n = 3). The flow diagram of the search and identification process is presented in Figure 1.

Study Characteristics

A total of 2349 hypertensive patients were analyzed. All studies adopted qigong as either monotherapy or adjunctive therapy to antihypertensive drugs in the treatment group. Two trials ^{47,53} had a 3-group study design: 1 trial included qigong, jogging, and antihypertensive drugs groups,⁴⁷ whereas the other included qigong plus antihypertensive drugs (QPADs), qigong, and antihypertensive drugs groups.⁵³

Internal qigong (*neiqi*) and external qigong (*waiqi*) are distinguished by who is practicing qigong. That is, internal qigong (*neiqi*) is practiced by hypertensive patients themselves for beneficial health effects, whereas external qigong (*waiqi*) is performed by a trained practitioner other than the patient to direct the qi energy to the patient. In our review, all trials used internal qigong as the interventions in the treatment group. Interventions included qigong practiced alone^{44–52} and combined with antihypertensive drugs.^{53–63} The controls included wait-list control,^{44,45} conventional exercises,⁴⁶ jogging,⁴⁷ no exercise (maintenance of original lifestyle),⁴⁸ oryzanol,⁴⁹ or antihypertensive drugs.^{50–63} Patients in the treatment group received the same type and dosage of antihypertensive drugs under the same standard used for the control group. All studies were single-centered and parallel-designed.

The 20 included trials specified 3 diagnostic criteria of hypertension. Among these trials, 3 used the National Committee on Screening, and Prevention of Coronary Heart Disease and High Blood Pressure in China—1974,^{47,52,62} 3 trials used the National Committee on Prevention and Treatment of Cardiovascular Disease in China—1979,^{53,54,60} 2 trials used the World Health Organization-International Society of Hypertension guidelines for the management of hypertension guidelines f

The total treatment duration ranged from 8 weeks to 12 months. Clinical efficacy on BP was observed in all studies, with no difference in the baseline BP data. Two different methods were used to evaluate the clinical efficacy on BP: 13 trials used BP data, $^{44-48,50-56,63}$ whereas the other 7 trials used the 3-grade evaluation criteria. $^{49,57-62}$ AEs were reported in only 1 trial, 46 whereas no other studies mentioned them. The detailed study characteristics are summarized in Table 1.

Study Quality

We assessed the risk of bias of the included studies based on the information extracted from the methods section. According to the Cochrane Collaboration tool, most of the included trials were evaluated as high risk of bias. The methodological quality of most of the trials was generally "poor." Only 1 study described the method for random sequence generation.⁴⁶ No trials described allocation concealment, blinding of participants, or outcome assessment. Detailed information about dropouts and withdrawals were reported in 4 trials.^{44–46,59} Only 1 trial described a pretrial estimation of sample size.⁴⁶ We also attempted to contact authors by telephone, fax, and email for further information, but no responses were received. The details of the risk of bias of each trial are presented in Table 2.

Efficacy Assessment

All studies focused on the effect of qigong on hypertensive patients. A subgroup analysis was conducted among 4 different types of comparisons, including qigong versus no



FIGURE 1. Flow diagram of study selection and identification.

intervention, $^{44,45,48,49}_{44,45,48,49}$ qigong versus exercise, $^{46,47}_{46,47}$ qigong versus antihypertensive drugs, $^{53-63}_{53-63}$ and QPADs versus antihypertensive drugs.

Qigong Versus No Intervention

Interventions in the control groups of 4 trials included wait-list control,^{44,45} no exercise (maintenance of original lifestyle),⁴⁸ and oryzanol.⁴⁹ Because none of the interventions had an effect on BP, we grouped them into a "no-intervention" category for further analysis. There were 111 patients in the qigong group and 79 in the no-intervention group.

Three trials used BP data to measure the clinical efficacy of qigong compared with no intervention.^{44,45,48} An overall synthesis of BP data was performed. Meta-analysis revealed a significant lowering effect of qigong on SBP (WMD = -17.40 mm Hg, 95% CI -21.06 to -13.74, P < 0.00001) with no significant heterogeneity ($\chi^2 = 2.98$, P = 0.22, $I^2 = 33\%$) (Figure 2A). Qigong significantly lowered the level of DBP (WMD = -10.15 mm Hg, 95% CI -13.99 to -6.30, P < 0.00001) with high heterogeneity ($\chi^2 = 5.18$, P = 0.08, $I^2 = 61\%$) compared with no intervention (Figure 2B). One trial conducted by Liang⁴⁹ used the 3-grade evaluation

One trial conducted by Liang⁴⁹ used the 3-grade evaluation criteria to assess the effect of qigong on hypertension. A total of 60 hypertensive patients were randomized into a treatment group (n = 40) and control group (n = 20). The participants

in the control group received oryzanol therapy, whereas the patients in the treatment group received qigong plus oryzanol therapy. After 3 months, the qigong plus oryzanol group had significantly lower BP in general than the oryzanol group (95% vs 35%, P < 0.01).

Qigong Versus Exercise

Because interventions in the control groups of 2 trials included conventional exercise⁴⁶ and jogging,⁴⁷ subgroup analysis of qigong versus exercise was performed. There were 86 patients in the qigong group and 83 in the exercise group. All studies reported BP data at baseline and after intervention. The meta-analysis indicated that SBP was significantly higher in the qigong group compared the exercise group after treatment (WMD = 6.51 mm Hg, 95% CI 2.81 to 10.21, P = 0.0006) with no significant heterogeneity ($\chi^2 = 0.32$, P = 0.57, $I^2 = 0\%$) (Figure 3A). There was no statistical significance in DBP between the qigong group and exercise group (WMD = 0.67 mm Hg, 95% CI -1.39 to 2.73, P = 0.52) with no significant heterogeneity ($\chi^2 = 0.14$, P = 0.71, $I^2 = 0\%$) (Figure 3B).

Qigong Versus Antihypertensive Drugs

Five studies evaluated the effect of qigong on BP compared with antihypertensive drugs.^{47,50-53} There were 318

TABLE 1. B	asic Characteris	tics of the Includ	led Studies						
References	Sample Size (Randomized/ Analyzed) M/F	Age, y	Diagnosis Standard	Intervention	Control	Treatment Duration	Main Outcomes (Intergroup Differences)	AEs Report	Main Findings From Original Study
Lee et al ⁴⁴	65/58 T:10/19 C:13/16	T: 55.8±6.3 C: 57.1±7.6	NR	Shuxinpingxue gong: 30 min/d	Wait-list control	10 wk	SBP and DBP: $P < 0.001$	Z	Qigong may stabilize the sympath- etic nervous system, improve ventilatory functions and reduce BP positively in mildly hyper- tensive middle-and societs
Lee et al ⁴⁵	46/36 T: 8/9 C:6/13	T: 52.6±5.1 C: 54.3±5.5	NR	Shuxinpingxue gong: 30 min/d	Wait-list control	8 wk	SBP and DBP: $P < 0.001$	Z	Qigong has positive effects on reducing BP and enhancing per- centions of self-efficacy
Cheung et al ⁴⁶	91/88 T:21/26 C:16/25	T: <i>5</i> 7.2 ± 9.5 C: <i>5</i> 1.2 ± 7.4	NR	Guolin qigong: 60 min in the morning and 15 min in the	Conventional exercise: 60 min in the morning and 15 min in the evening/d	16 wk	BP: NSD	¥	There is no significant difference in the effects of qigong and con- ventional exercise on BP in patients with mild hypertension
Lu et al ⁴⁷	117/117 T: 39 C1: 42 C2: 36 F/M: NR	T: 51–59 CI: 46–69 C2: 46–62	NCSPCHDHBP 	o evennig a Qigong: 30–60 min/time, 2 times/d	 C1: jogging, 4000–5000 m/d C2: antihypertensive drugs (compound reserpine tablet, hydrochlorothiazide, propranolol, dihydralazine, guanethidine, or clonidine) 	12 то	SBP and DBP: NSD	Z	Both qigong and jogging have positive effects on reducing BP, body weight, TC, and TG in hypertensive patients
Liu et al ⁴⁸	36/36 T: 25 C: 11 F/M· NR	51–70 (T/C: NR)	NR	Qigong: 60 min/time, 5–6 times/wk	No exercise	10 wk	SBP: <i>P</i> < 0.05; DBP: NSD	Z	Qigong significantly lowers BP
Liang ⁴⁹	60/60 T: 40 C: 20 F/M: NR	52–84 (T/C: NR)	NR	Qigong (30-60 min, 2 times/d) + control	Oryzanol: 20 mg, tid	3 mo	BP: $P < 0.05$	Z	Qigong plays a role in the regulation of BP
Ma et al ⁵⁰	91/91 T: 54 C: 37F/M: NR	38–66 (T/C: NR)	NR	Dayan qigong: 60 min/time, 2 times/d	Antihypertensive drugs	4 mo	SBP and DBP: P < 0.05	Z	Qigong is effective in lowering BP in patients with hypertension
Liu ^{s1}	66/66 T: 53 C: 13 F/M: NR	NR	WHO-ISH GMH—1978	Qigong: 1 time/d	Antihypertensive drugs	8 wk	SBP and DBP: P < 0.001	Z	Qigong is effective in lowering BP and improving hypertension- related symptoms in hypertensive patients

TABLE 1. (continued)								
References	Sample Size (Randomized/ Analyzed) M/F	Age, y	Diagnosis Standard	Intervention	Control	Treatment Duration	Main Outcomes (Intergroup Differences)	AEs Report	Main Findings From Original Study
Wang ⁵²	60/60 T: 30 C: 30 F/M: NR	NR	NCSPCHDHBP —1974	Qigong: 60 min/d, 20 d for hypertensive patients; 60 min/d, 2 mo for hypertension complicated with cardiovascular and	Antihypertensive drugs: com- pound reserpine tablet, nif dipine, etc	- 2 mo e-	BP: <i>P</i> < 0.05	Z	Qigong is effective in lowering BP and improving ECG in hyper- tensive patients
Li et al ⁵³	346/346 T1: 143 T2: 142 C: 61 F/M: NR	NR	NCPTCD —1979	T1: Qigong (30 min/time, 2 times/d) + control T2: Qigong (30 min/time, T2: Qigong (30 min/time, 2 times/d)	Propranolol: 10 mg, tid	8 wk	BP: (1) T1 vs T2: P < 0.01 (2) T1 vs C: P < 0.01 (3) T2 vs C: NSD	Z	Qigong is effective in lowering BP and improving hypertension- related symptoms in patients with hypertension
Qian et al ⁵⁴	40/40 T: 0/22 C: 0/18	T: 53.50 ± 2.90 C: 53.20 ± 2.60	NCPTCD-979	Qigong (15–20 min, 2 times/d) + control	Antihypertensive drugs	6 mo	SBP and DBP: $P < 0.001$	Z	Qigong is effective in lowering BP, relieving kidney deficiency syn- drome, and improving estradiol and restosterone
Lin and Huang	⁵⁵ 127/127 T: 31/37 C: 27/32	>60 (T/C: NR)	NR	Qigong (30 min, >6 times/wk) + control	Antihypertensive drugs	6 mo	SBP and DBP: $P < 0.01$	Z	Qigong could contribute to the reduction of BP in senile hyper- tension
Li ⁵⁶	39/39 T: 13/12 C: 8/6	T: 59.12 ± 6.77 C: 58.21 ± 8.51	NR	Qigong (60 min/time, 1-2 times/d) + control	Compound reserpine tablet: 1 2 tablets, tid	6 mo	SBP and DBP: $P < 0.001$	Z	Qigong may play a role in the regulation of BP in patients with hypertension
Wang et al ⁵⁷	98/98 T: 50 C: 48 F/M: NR	T: 51.81 ± 6.45 C: 52.32 ± 7.62	NR	Qigong (30 min/time, 1–2 times/d) + control	Compound dihydralazine sul- fate tablet: 1–2 tablets, tid	· 12 mo	BP: <i>P</i> < 0.05	Z	Qigong can significantly lower BP, improve blood lipid, and regulate estradiol and estradiol/testoster- one in hypertensive patients complicated with coronary heart disease
Wang et al ⁵⁸	462/462 T: 280/24 C: 102/20	T: 54,60 ± 8.72 C: 53.70 ± 9.25	NR	Qigong (30 min/time, 1–2 times/d) + control	Antihypertensive drugs	12 mo	BP: $P < 0.01$	Z	Qigong is effective in lowering BP, reducing the excitability of sym- pathetic nervous activity and regulating the function of an unbalanced autonomous nervous system in patients with hyper- tension
Wang et al ⁵⁹	264/242 T: 122 C: 120 F/M: NR	48.21 ± 5.60 (T/C: NR)	ZR	Qubingyangsheng gong (20-30 min/time, 1-2 times/d) + control	 1958–1969: reserpine, hydralazine, and hydro- chlorothiazide, 0.5 tablet, ti 1970–1980: compound reserpine tablet, 1 tablet, ti 1981–1992: compound dihydralazine sulfate tablet 1 tablet, tid. 	12 mo d; d; t,	BP: $P < 0.01$	Z	Qigong has positive effects in low- ering BP and reducing the mortality rate and the mortality rate caused by stroke in hyper- tensive patients after a 30-y fol- low-up
Li et al ⁶⁰	150/150 T: 105 C: 45 F/M: NR	NR	NCPTCD—1979	Qigong (NR) + control	Hydrochlorothiazide: 12.5 mg bid; propranolol: 5 mg, tid	; 3 mo	BP: $P < 0.05$	z	Qigong can lower BP and improve hypertension-related symptoms in patients with hypertension

6 | www.md-journal.com

1 time/d) + control					late blood lipid metabolism
- Qigong (relaxation qigong	Verticil: 4 mg, tid;	2 mo	BP: $P < 0.05$	z	Qigong combined with antihyper-
for 1 wk, internal qigong	dibazolum: 10 mg, tid;				tensive drugs is more effective in
combined with external	and compound rutin				lowering BP in hypertensive
qigong for 3 wk, and	tablet: 20 mg, tid				patients
stand qigong combined wi	ith				
dynamic qigong					
for $1 \text{ mo} + \text{control}$					
H— Qigong (30-45 min/time,	Nifedipine: 10 mg, tid	3 mo	SBP and DBP:	z	Qigong exercise can lower BP in
2 times/d + control			P < 0.05		patients with hypertension
	Qigong (relaxation qigong for 1 wk, internal qigong combined with external qigong for 3 wk, and stand qigong combined w dynamic qigong for 1 mo) + control Qigong (30–45 min/time, 2 times/d) + control	Qigong (relaxation qigongVerticil: 4 mg, tid;for 1 wk, internal qigongdibazolum: 10 mg, tid;combined with externaland compound rutinqigong for 3 wk, andtablet: 20 mg, tidstand qigong combined withtablet: 20 mg, tiddynamic qigongfor 1 mo) + controlQigong (30-45 min/time,Nifedipine: 10 mg, tid2 times/d) + controlNifedipine: 10 mg, tid	Qigong (relaxation qigongVerticil: 4 mg, tid;2 mofor 1 wk, internal qigongdibazolum: 10 mg, tid;2combined with externaland compound rutinqigong for 3 wk, andtablet: 20 mg, tidstand qigong combined withtablet: 20 mg, tiddynamic qigongfor 1 mo) + controlQigong (30-45 min/time,Nifedipine: 10 mg, tid2 times/d) + control2 times/d) + control		

reati sig	Ba
n and T SD = nc or the m	In
ventio ed, NS lines fo	L
an Pre report guide	C
ittee c = not 1 nsion	L
omm NR = perter	Li
nal C 1974, of Hy	M Li
Natic ina	W
979 = in Ch aal So	Li O
D—1 ssure nation	Li
CPTC od Pre	W
10, NG h Bloo zation	W
N = r d Higl rganiz	Li
male, ase an alth O	W Li
, M = Dise: 1d He	Y
emale Heart = Woi	
F = f onary 1978 =	ge D
aphy, if Cor MH-	ou H
rdiogr ttion c ISH G	
reven VHO-	
= elec ; and I ; and i ides, V	pa
ECG sening dyceri	di
ssure, n Scre = trig	qi di
od pre ittee o il, TG	er
ic bloc ommi lestero	us
iastoli onal C ul chol	tr
BP = d = Natio = tota	S
ıp, DE 974 = p, TC	dı P
ol grou 3P—1 t grou	I^2
contrc HDHF atmen	(\ W
, C = i CSPCI = tree	pa
essure 79, N(sure, T	Q
od pi 1	gi

TABLE 2. Methodological Quality of the Included Studies ased on the Cochrane Handbook

Included trials	Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Η
Lee MS, et al. 2003 ⁴⁴	?	?	?	?	?	_	?	?
Lee et al ⁴⁵	?	?	?	?	?	_	?	?
Cheung et al ⁴⁶	+	?	?	?	?	+	?	?
Lu et al ⁴⁷	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?
Liu et al ⁴⁸	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?
Liang ⁴⁹	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?
Ma et al ⁵⁰	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?
Liu ⁵¹	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?
Wang ⁵²	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?
Li et al ⁵³	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?
Qian et al ⁵⁴	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?
Lin and Huang ⁵⁵	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?
Li ⁵⁶	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?
Wang et al ⁵⁷	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?
Wang et al ⁵⁸	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?
Wang et al ⁵⁹	?	?	?	?	?	+	?	?
Li et al ⁶⁰	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?
Wang et al ⁶¹	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?
Li ⁶²	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?
Yuan et al ⁶³	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?

-= high risk, ?= unclear, += low risk, A= adequate sequence eneration, B = concealment of allocation, C = blinding (patient), = blinding (investigator), E = blinding (assessor), F = incompleteatcome data addressed (ITT analysis), G = free of selective reporting, = other potential threat to validity.

atients in the qigong group and 177 in the antihypertensive ug group.

One trial conducted by Wang⁵² evaluated the effect of gong on BP compared with antihypertensive drugs in 4 fferent age groups. The trial demonstrated a significant lowing effect of gigong on SBP and DBP. However, we could not se these data for further meta-analysis.

A change in BP data was also reported in 4 other ials,^{47,50,51,53} and subgroup analysis was performed. The eta-analysis did not reveal a significant lowering effect on BP in the qigong group compared with the antihypertensive rug group (WMD = -7.91 mm Hg, 95% CI -16.81 to 1.00, =0.08) with high heterogeneity ($\chi^2 = 17.09$, P = 0.0007, =82%) (Figure 4A). Qigong significantly reduced DBP VMD = -6.08 mm Hg, 95% CI -9.58 to -2.58, P = 0.0007)ith high heterogeneity ($\chi^2 = 11.02, P = 0.01, I^2 = 73\%$) comared with antihypertensive drugs (Figure 4B).

PADs Versus Antihypertensive Drugs

Eleven trials compared QPADs (combination therapy group) with antihypertensive drugs (single-therapy group) for the treatment of hypertension. $^{53-63}$ There were 960 patients in the combination therapy group and 599 in the single-therapy group.

Five trials used BP data to evaluate the effect of combi-nation therapy compared with single therapy, ^{53–56,63} and subgroup analysis was performed. The pooled analysis of 5 trials indicated that compared with the single-therapy group, SBP was significantly lower in the combination therapy group (WMD = -11.99 mm Hg, 95% CI -15.59 to -8.39, P < 0.00001) with significant heterogeneity ($\chi^2 = 8.97$,

ц

P = 0.06, $I^2 = 55\%$) (Figure 5A). A significant lowering effect on DBP was observed in the combination therapy group (WMD = -5.28 mm Hg, 95% CI -8.13 to -2.42, P = 0.0003), with significant heterogeneity ($\chi^2 = 19.39$, P = 0.0007, $I^2 = 79\%$) compared with the single-therapy group (Figure 5B).

Six trials used the 3-grade criteria to assess the effect of combination therapy on BP in general.^{57–62} A meta-analysis revealed a significant BP-lowering effect in the combination therapy group (risk ratio = 1.33, 95% CI 1.23 to 1.43, P < 0.00001) with no significant heterogeneity ($\chi^2 = 1.43$, P = 0.92, $I^2 = 0\%$) compared with the single-therapy group (Figure 5C).

Adverse Events

Among the 20 included trials, only 1 (5%, 1/20) mentioned AEs.⁴⁶ In that trial, a woman in the qigong group experienced vestibular neuronitis; however, this symptom was not related to the practice of qigong. Although AEs were not rigorously monitored and reported in the other 19 trials (95%, 19/20), most of the original authors declared that qigong was a relatively safe approach for various conditions, including hypertension. No severe AEs were reported.

Publication Bias

Because the number of included trials in each subgroup was <10, funnel plots could not be applied to detect potential publication bias.

DISCUSSION

Summary of Evidence

CAM, including TCM, is increasing in popularity among the general population in Western countries.^{64–67} Hypertensive patients have joined this global trend ^{68,69} and often seek complementary therapies to conventional antihypertensive treatment without their physicians' knowledge.⁷⁰ Previous reports have estimated that CAM therapies are used by 29% to 69.5% of hypertensive patients.^{71–73} Among the most common forms of CAM therapies, qigong is one of the easiest and most accessible modes worldwide.^{74,75} In China, qigong has been practiced for its health-enhancing qualities for thousands of years. Qigong was also recently confirmed to improve aspects of the psychosocial, physical, and physiological domains in hypertensive patients. These benefits include relieving tinnitus,⁷⁶ improving fatigue,^{77,78} alleviating depression,⁷⁹ reducing stress and anxiety,³⁴ and enhancing QOL,⁸⁰ specifically targeting older adults.^{81,82} However, the selection of such therapies should be based on scientific studies and evidence-based medicine rather than patient preference.⁸³

Regarding the role of qigong in the treatment of hypertension, we aimed to provide a systematic review and metaanalysis of both the English and Chinese literature to address the efficacy and safety of qigong in the treatment of hypertension. Although qigong is mainly practiced and researched in China, only a few Chinese databases were searched in the 2 previous systematic reviews,^{21,22} potentially excluding relevant studies and inducing selection bias.⁴¹ A prominent characteristic of this review was the extensive, unbiased literature search in various Chinese databases, which included much information about qigong that is not available in the English literatures. Although 12 and 9 trials were included in Lee et al's study²¹ in 2007 and Guo et al's study²² in 2008, respectively, the current review includes additional recently published clinical trials, potentially providing more convincing evidence to support the use of qigong for hypertension. This is the most comprehensive assessment of qigong for hypertension and provides new evidence for clinical professionals.

The overall results of the 20 included randomized trials with 2349 hypertensive patients suggest that qigong is superior to no intervention in lowering SBP (decreased by 17.40 mm Hg) and DBP (decreased by 10.15 mm Hg). Qigong is inferior to exercise in decreasing SBP (increased by 6.51 mm Hg), but no significant difference between qigong and exercise was observed for DBP (increased by 0.67 mm Hg). Qigong resulted in a clinically meaningful but not statistically significant reduction in SBP (decreased by 7.91 mm Hg) but appeared to be more effective in lowering DBP (decreased by 6.08 mm Hg) compared with antihypertensive drugs. As an adjunctive therapy to antihypertensive drugs, qigong significantly lowered SBP (decreased by 11.99 mm Hg) and DBP (decreased by 5.28 mm Hg) compared with antihypertensive drugs alone.

5.28 mm Hg) compared with antihypertensive drugs alone. Previous studies conducted by Lewington et al⁸⁴ suggested that each increase of 20 mm Hg in SBP or 10 mm Hg in DBP doubles the risk of cardiovascular and stroke events in individuals ages 40 to 69 years with a BP > 115/75 mm Hg. However, antihypertensive treatment substantially reduces this cardiovascular risk. In this review, one of the critical issues was the effectiveness of qigong in lowering BP. The BP-lowering effect of qigong was evaluated in 4 subgroups according to different comparisons. The first finding was evidence about the efficacy of qigong compared with the no-intervention control. Our metaanalysis suggested that qigong has beneficial effects for lowering both SBP and DBP compared with no intervention. This result is consistent with previously published metaanalyses,^{21,22} indicating that regular qigong practice may have positive effects for hypertensive patients.

Another valuable finding of this review was the comparison between gigong and exercise. Guidelines for the management of hypertension recommend exercise as the frontline strategy for key preventive lifestyle modification to reduce the risk of hypertension and to manage high BP.1,6,85 Hypertensive patients are advised to perform 30 to 60 minutes of moderate-to-vigorous-intensity aerobic exercise 4 to 7 days per week in addition to the activities of daily living. Numerous observational epidemiological studies, clinical trials, and metaanalyses have been conducted to summarize the growing numbers of trials addressing the effects of exercise on BP.86-89 These studies have demonstrated that acute and chronic aerobic exercise can contribute to reducing resting and ambulatory BP in hypertensive patients. In this review, a meta-analysis of 2 trials reporting adequate data revealed that exercise is superior to qigong in lowering SBP, and a relatively small but not significant lowering effect was observed for DBP, indicating that regular physical exercise maybe more effective than gigong in the management of hypertension. Similar results were observed in a previously published systematic review.²² However, due to the small sample size, short-term duration, and limited trials, the current conclusions should be treated with caution. In addition, previous national surveys in the United States have revealed that exercise is practiced by only 26% of hypertension patients and that patients >75 years of age are least likely to participate.⁹⁰ In China, qigong is widely practiced by middle-aged and elderly people, some of whom are intolerant of moderate-to-vigorous-intensity aerobic exercise. Therefore, qigong may be more suitable for elderly patients as a gentle alternative to intense physical activities, particularly static qigong, which has low physical demands, although further evidence is needed.91,92



FIGURE 2. Forest plot of the comparison of qigong versus no intervention for the outcome of BP: (A) SBP and (B) DBP. BP = blood pressure, CI = confidence interval, DBP = diastolic blood pressure, SBP = systolic blood pressure.

The third interesting finding of this review was the comparison between qigong and antihypertensive drugs. There is robust evidence from RCTs that a BP reduction of 10 mm Hg systolic or 5 mm Hg diastolic by antihypertensive drugs contributes to a 22% reduction in coronary heart disease events (17%-27%) and a 41% (33%-48%) reduction in stroke.⁹³ Could qigong be used as an alternative therapy to antihypertensive drugs for hypertension? In our review, compared with antihypertensive drugs, qigong had a clinically meaningful but nonsignificant effect on lowering SBP but was effective in lowering DBP. Therefore, qigong may be a valuable lifestyle intervention in maintaining a desirable BP. However, due to the limited number of included trials and significant clinical heterogeneity in this subgroup, additional evidence is needed to confirm these conclusions.

TCM is often used in addition to baseline treatment with effective Western medicines to enhance the hypotensive effect and reduce the toxicity of conventional treatment. That is, patients in the control groups received conventional Western medicine therapy alone, whereas patients in the treatment group received a combination of TCM and Western medicine therapy. This design is also known as an add-on design, which is quite popular for TCM studies of various diseases and conditions.^{94–}

⁹⁹ Is adjunctive qigong therapy more effective than antihypertensive drugs alone in lowering BP? The fourth finding was the evaluation of the effect of the combination of qigong and antihypertensive drugs on BP. Our data suggest that QPADs significantly decrease both SBP and DBP more than antihypertensive drugs alone. A similar positive effect on BP was also reported in other nonpharmacological add-on studies for hypertension.^{10,12,14} These results suggest that QPAD therapy may be an optimal therapeutic regimen for hypertensive patients who are insensitive to pharmacological treatment alone, particularly when compliance with antihypertensive drug treatment is poor. Considering the potential BP reduction effect of qigong as a monotherapy or adjunctive therapy, its practice could reduce the global burden of disease due to high BP either economically or clinically.

A recent review by Lawes et al¹⁰⁰ suggested that approximately 54% of stroke and 47% of ischemic heart disease worldwide are attributable to high BP. Previous studies have also demonstrated that physical activity reduces cardiovascular mortality by 16% to 67%.¹⁰¹ Could qigong contribute to the reduction of cardiovascular events and regular exercise? We estimated the effect of gigong in preventing the incidence of all causes of mortality and progression to severe complications. Only 1 trial assessed these outcomes with a 30-year follow-up, and further evidence is required.⁵⁹ A total of 242 hypertensive patients were randomized into a QPADs group (n = 122) and an antihypertensive drugs group (n = 120) for 12 months. At the end of follow-up, a significant beneficial effect on total mortality was observed in the QPADs group (25.41% vs 47.50%, P < 0.001), particularly mortality caused by serious hypertensive complications (18.85% vs 39.16%, P < 0.01). Although positive effects of qigong on cardiovascular morbidity and mortality were reported by only 1 trial (5%, 1/20) with long-term follow-up, reporting was inadequate in the other 19 trials (95%, 19/20), demonstrating that the long-term effect of qigong could be evaluated with RCTs and that well-designed studies with a low risk of bias generate more-valid clinical evidence.

In addition, it should be noted that doctor training and expertise are important factors contributing to the positive effects of gigong for hypertensive patients. More recent studies have suggested that the choice of treatment by doctors is influenced by their training and clinical experience.¹⁰² Doctors with further elective training in TCM used more individualized TCM therapies. Thus, studies conducted in China are likely completed by qigong experts with better training and experience. Is there any difference in reporting the participation of experienced physicians? In this review, 2 RCTs that were preformed in South Korea all declared the use of qigong experts.^{44,45} Another trial that was published in English also stated that qigong was taught by an instructor with expertise in guolin qigong.46 Thus, all trials conducted outside China and published in English gave full consideration to this issue, and no difference in doctor training or expertise was identified.





LIMITATIONS

The apparent reported positive findings should be interpreted conservatively due to the low methodological quality and significant heterogeneity of the included trials. There are 5 limitations to this review. First, methodological issues are a common concern in CAM clinical trials.¹⁰³ The results reported in this article are similar to those of previous systematic reviews,^{21,22} which also addressed concerns regarding the high risk of bias in the primary studies. In this review, most of the trials were of poor methodological quality. Inadequate reporting of the study design, allocation sequence, allocation concealment, blinding, intention-to-treat analysis, and dropouts was identified in the majority of trials. Randomization is necessary to avoid selection bias. However, only 1 trial reported the method of random sequence generation.⁴⁶ In addition, no trial described allocation concealment, suggesting that some declared RCTs may not be true RCTs. Blinding is an essential method for preventing research outcomes from being influenced by either performance bias or detection bias. In this review, because it is difficult to blind patients to treatment, only the blinding of the outcome assessor was considered according to the Cochrane risk of bias criteria. However, no trials reported this blinding. The lack of information on dropouts and withdrawals was also problematic. Only 4 trials provided information on dropout rates and withdrawals.^{44–46,59} Moreover, a lack of follow-up might lead to difficulty in accounting for the long-term effect of qigong. Only 1 study

		Q	igong			AD			Mean Difference	Mean Difference	
	Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	IV, Random, 95% CI	
	LiW, et al, 1994a	142.58	14.18	142	145.95	18.38	61	27.8%	-3.37 [-8.54, 1.80]		
	Liu, H 1994	135	11	53	157.54	14.73	13	24.0% -	22.54 [-31.08, -14.00]		
	Lu, Zc, et al, 1987b	150	17.35	39	150.67	17.38	36	24.8%	-0.67 [-8.54, 7.20]		
	Ma WC, et al, 1999	132	21.45	54	138	21.45	37	23.4%	-6.00 [-14.97, 2.97]		
	Total (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 6	67.19, Cł	1i ² = 17	288 7.09, <i>df</i> :	= 3 (<i>P</i> = (0.0007);	147 <i>l</i> ² = 82	100.0% %	-7.91 [-16.81, -1.00]		
	Test for overall effect: 2	Z=1.74 (P < 0.0	08)						Favors Oldong Favors AD	
								SBP		Tarois algoing Tarois AD	
	A										
		Q	igong			AD			Mean Difference	Mean Difference	
	Study or Subgroup	Q Mean	igong SD	Total	Mean	AD SD	Total	Weight	Mean Difference IV, Random, 95% Cl	Mean Difference IV, Random, 95% Cl	
	Study or Subgroup LiW, et al, 1994a	Qi <u>Mean</u> 87	igong SD 6.83	Total 142	<u>Mean</u> 89.7	AD <u>SD</u> 9.53	<u>Total</u> 61	Weight 29.7%	Mean Difference IV, Random, 95% CI -2.70 [-5.34, -0.06]	Mean Difference IV, Random, 95% CI	
-	Study or Subgroup LiW, et al, 1994a Liu, H 1994	Q <u>Mean</u> 87 89	igong SD 6.83 7.6	<u>Total</u> 142 53	Mean 89.7 101.12	AD <u>SD</u> 9.53 9	<u>Total</u> 61 13	Weight 29.7% 19.7%	Mean Difference IV, Random, 95% CI -2.70 [-5.34, -0.06] -12.12 [-17.42, -6.82]	Mean Difference IV, Random, 95% Cl	
-	Study or Subgroup LiW, et al, 1994a Liu, H 1994 Lu, ZC, et al, 1987b	Q Mean 87 89 88.08	igong SD 6.83 7.6 5.52	Total 142 53 39	Mean 89.7 101.12 94.72	AD SD 9.53 9 7.02	<u>Total</u> 61 13 36	Weight 29.7% 19.7% 28.8%	Mean Difference IV, Random, 95% CI -2.70 [-5.34, -0.06] -12.12 [-17.42, -6.82] -6.64 [-9.51, -3.77]	Mean Difference IV, Random, 95% CI	
	Study or Subgroup LiW, et al, 1994a Liu, H 1994 Lu, ZC, et al, 1987b Ma WC, et al, 1999	Q Mean 87 89 88.08 87	igong SD 6.83 7.6 5.52 11.1	<u>Total</u> 142 53 39 54	Mean 89.7 101.12 94.72 91.5	AD 9.53 9 7.02 11.33	Total 61 13 36 37	Weight 29.7% 19.7% 28.8% 21.8%	Mean Difference <u>IV, Random, 95% CI</u> -2.70 [-5.34, -0.06] -12.12 [-17.42, -6.82] -6.64 [-9.51, -3.77] -4.50 [-9.20, 0.20]	Mean Difference IV, Random, 95% CI	
-	Study or Subgroup LiW, et al, 1994a Liu, H 1994 Lu, ZC, et al, 1987b Ma WC, et al, 1999	Qi Mean 87 89 88.08 87	igong SD 6.83 7.6 5.52 11.1	Total 142 53 39 54	Mean 89.7 101.12 94.72 91.5	AD 9.53 9 7.02 11.33	<u>Total</u> 61 13 36 37	Weight 29.7% 19.7% 28.8% 21.8%	Mean Difference <u>IV, Random, 95% CI</u> -2.70 [-5.34, -0.06] -12.12 [-17.42, -6.82] -6.64 [-9.51, -3.77] -4.50 [-9.20, 0.20]	Mean Difference IV, Random, 95% CI	
	Study or Subgroup LiW, et al, 1994a Liu, H 1994 Lu, ZC, et al, 1987b Ma WC, et al, 1999 Total (95% CI)	Q <u>Mean</u> 87 89 88.08 87	igong SD 6.83 7.6 5.52 11.1	Total 142 53 39 54 288	Mean 89.7 101.12 94.72 91.5	AD 9.53 9 7.02 11.33	Total 61 13 36 37 147	Weight 29.7% 19.7% 28.8% 21.8% 100.0%	Mean Difference <u>IV, Random, 95% CI</u> -2.70 [-5.34, -0.06] -12.12 [-17.42, -6.82] -6.64 [-9.51, -3.77] -4.50 [-9.20, 0.20] -6.08 [-9.58, -2.58]	Mean Difference IV, Random, 95% CI	
-	Study or Subgroup LiW, et al, 1994a Liu, H 1994 Lu, ZC, et al, 1987b Ma WC, et al, 1999 Total (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 8	Q <u>Mean</u> 87 89 88.08 87 3.94, Chi	igong SD 6.83 7.6 5.52 11.1 ² = 11.	<u>Total</u> 142 53 39 54 288 02, <i>df</i> =	Mean 89.7 101.12 94.72 91.5 3 (<i>P</i> = 0.	AD <u>SD</u> 9.53 9 7.02 11.33 01); $f^2 =$	Total 61 13 36 37 147 73%	Weight 29.7% 19.7% 28.8% 21.8% 100.0%	Mean Difference <u>IV, Random, 95% CI</u> -2.70 [-5.34, -0.06] -12.12 [-17.42, -6.82] -6.64 [-9.51, -3.77] -4.50 [-9.20, 0.20] -6.08 [-9.58, -2.58]	Mean Difference IV, Random, 95% CI	
-	Study or Subgroup LiW, et al, 1994a Liu, H 1994 Lu, ZC, et al, 1987b Ma WC, et al, 1999 Total (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 8 Test for overall effect: 2	Q Mean 87 89 88.08 87 8.94, Chir Z = 3.40 (igong SD 6.83 7.6 5.52 11.1 2 ² = 11. (P < 0.1	Total 142 53 39 54 288 02, <i>df</i> = 0007)	<u>Mean</u> 89.7 101.12 94.72 91.5 3 (<i>P</i> = 0.	AD <u>SD</u> 9.53 9 7.02 11.33 01); $f^2 =$	Total 61 13 36 37 147 73%	Weight 29.7% 19.7% 28.8% 21.8% 100.0%	Mean Difference <u>IV, Random, 95% CI</u> -2.70 [-5.34, -0.06] -12.12 [-17.42, -6.82] -6.64 [-9.51, -3.77] -4.50 [-9.20, 0.20] -6.08 [-9.58, -2.58] -2	Mean Difference IV, Random, 95% CI	1 20

FIGURE 4. Forest plot of the comparison of qigong versus AD for the outcome of BP: (A) SBP and (B) DBP. AD = antihypertensive drugs, BP = blood pressure, CI = confidence interval, DBP = diastolic blood pressure, SBP = systolic blood pressure.

	QI	PAD		AD		Mean Differe	ence Mear	n Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD T	Total Mea	in SE) Total	Weight IV. Random, 95	% CI IV. Ran	dom, 95% Cl
Li JP, 1993	146.03	3.3	25 158.	85 5.78	B 14	29.4% –12.82 [–16.	11, –9.53] 🛛 👫	
LiW, et al, 1994b	140.33	14.33	143 145.9	95 18.38	3 61	21.6% -5.62 [-10.8	30, -0.44]	•
Lin, H and Huang SJ, 2013	138.53	10.72	68 153.	25 13.4	7 59	25.2% -14.72 [-19.0	0, –10.44]	
Qian YC, et al, 1996	137.93	12.38	22 153	.9 10.28	3 18	15.7% -15.97 [-22.9	99, -8.95]	
Yuan SX et al, 1996	132.82	14.50	31 142.	56 23.8	9 22	8.1% -9.74 [-20.	96, 1.48]	
Total (95% CI)			289		174	100.0% -11.99 [-15.59	98.391	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =8.65. (Chi ² = 8.9	7. df (P =	= 0.06): /2 =	55%				
Test for overall effect: $Z = 6.5$	53 (<i>P</i> < 0.	00001)	,,				-20 -10	0 10 20
		,					Favors QP	AD Favors AD
A					SI	BP		
	Q	PAD		AD		Mean Differe	ence Mean	Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD T	otal Mear	SD	Total	Weight IV. Random, 95	% CI IV. Rand	om, 95% Cl
Li JP, 1993	85.58	0.38	25 90.53	3 3	14	24.8% -4.95 [-6.53	, –3.37] 👘 👘	
LiW, et al, 1994b	89.4	7.05	143 89.7	9.53	61	21.8% -0.30 [-2.96,	-2.36]	
Lin, H and Huang SJ, 2013	79.6	7.12	68 88.32	9.24	59	21.0% -8.72 [-11.62	-5.82]	
Qian YC, et al, 1996	90	5.25	22 96.45	6.53	18	18.4% -6.45 [-10.18	-2.72]	
Yuan SX et al, 1996	87.78	7.46	31 94.68	11.08	22	13.9% -6.90 [-12.22,	-1.58]	-
Total (05% CI)			280		174	100.0% 5.29 [9.12	2 421	
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 7.90$	Chi ² – 19	39 df-	4(P - 0.00)	$(07) \cdot l^2 -$	79%	-0.10,	-2.42]	
Test for overall effect: $Z = 3.6$	62 (P < 0)	0003	+ (7 = 0.00	, 1 =	13/8		-10 -5	0 5 10
	02 (1 < 0.	0000)					Favors QPA	D Favors AD
В					D	BP		
		П				Pick Patio	Risk	Batio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H Fixed 95% CL	M-H. Fixe	d. 95% Cl
	38	40	26	40	79	1 46 [1 15 1 85]		
1000	04	105	20	45	11.0%	1 44 [1 14 1 92]		
Li W, et al. 1990	94	105	20	40	05.0%	1.44 [1.14, 1.02]		_
Wang CX, et al, 1982	202	304	02	122	35.0%	1.28 [1.12, 1.40]		
Wang CX, et al, 1988	43	50	31	48	9.6%	1.33 [1.05, 1.69]		
Wang CX, et al, 1989	44	50	33	50	10.0%	1.33 [1.07, 1.67]		
Wang CX, et al, 1994	107	122	81	120	24.9%	1.30 [1.21, 1.50]		10 m 10 m 10 m
		074		405	100.001			•
TUTAI (95% CI)	500	671	007	425	100.0%	1.33 [-1.23, 1.43]		•
Iotal events	588		281				LI	
Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 1.43$.	df = 5 (P)	= 0.92):	<i>F</i> = 0%					

FIGURE 5. Forest plot of the comparison of QPAD versus AD for the outcome of BP: (A) SBP, (B) DBP, and (C) BP. AD = antihypertensive drugs, BP = blood pressure, CI = confidence interval, DBP = diastolic blood pressure, QPAD = qigong plus antihypertensive drugs, SBP = systolic blood pressure.

BP

described a pretrial estimation of the sample size.⁴⁶ Therefore, whether the sample sizes met the basic requirements of clinical research in the other trials is unknown.

Test for overall effect: Z = 7.45 (P < 0.00001)

С

Second, the heterogeneity in this analysis merits further attention. The significant clinical heterogeneity reflected in variations in methodological quality, participants, interventions, and antihypertensive drugs might weaken the reliability of the data. Thus, it was not possible to perform a pooling analysis of the trials.

Third, potential publication bias is another major concern that would limit the generalizability of the findings. The large number of duplicate publications was also a matter of concern in this review. Notably, the Shanghai Institute of Hypertension in China has completed numerous studies including >2000patients in the past 60 years, although few of these studies are represented in the literature. After checking the original data, only 4 of 25 published articles were included.^{57–59,61} Although great effort was made to avoid language bias and location bias during data retrieval, almost all trials identified after comprehensive searches were conducted in China and published in Chinese with positive results favoring qigong treatment. A systematic review by Vickers et al¹⁰⁴ reported that some countries, including China, publish a high proportion of positive results and found publication bias to be a possible explanation. Therefore, we cannot completely rule out potential publication bias.

0.5

07

1

Favors QPAD Favors AD

Fourth, although most studies claimed that antihypertensive drugs were used to achieve the best control of BP, whether these prescriptions met clinical standards was unclear due to insufficient reporting. In addition, the use of 3-grade evaluation criteria to evaluate the overall effect on BP limits the global acceptability and generalizability of these findings.

Furthermore, there was little detailed information about AEs. Appropriate qigong exercise may induce a series of

2

15

normal physiological and psychological reactions in participants; however, inappropriate training might lead to physical and mental disorders.^{105–107} Qigong as evaluated in this review generally seemed safe and well tolerated by hypertensive patients. However, the safety of its use could not be confirmed because only 1 study mentioned the safety of interventions. Investigators may have underestimated possible AEs in these trials. Therefore, it is difficult to draw definite conclusions about the safety of qigong.

CONCLUSIONS

Whether qigong is beneficial for hypertensive patients is an important question. Based on the available literature in English and Chinese, the results of this meta-analysis suggest that qigong is an effective therapy for hypertension. Qigong is superior to no intervention and antihypertensive drugs but inferior to exercise in lowering BP; qigong as an adjunctive therapy to antihypertensive drugs significantly lowers BP and could be recommended as a complementary approach for hypertensive patients. However, due to the poor methodological quality of the included studies, further rigorously designed RCTs with long-term follow-up focusing on hard clinical outcomes are required to confirm the results and to provide a high level of evidence, particularly to support qigong as an alternative to regular exercise for elderly patients. If well-designed RCTs with a high quality of methodology confirm that gigong is beneficial, it could be recommended as an evidence-based complementary and alternative therapy for the treatment of hypertension worldwide. On the contrary, negative results would challenge the rational basis and clinical evidence supporting qigong. We hope that this systematic review paves the way for evidence-based research on qigong for hypertension.

REFERENCES

- Mancia G, Fagard R, Narkiewicz K, et al. 2013 ESH/ESC guidelines for the management of arterial hypertension: the task force for the management of arterial hypertension of the European Society of Hypertension (ESH) and of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). *Eur Heart J.* 2013;34:2159–2219.
- Staessen JA, Wang JG, Bianchi G, et al. Essential hypertension. Lancet. 2003;361:1629–1641.
- James PA, Oparil S, Carter BL, et al. 2014 Evidence-based guideline for the management of high blood pressure in adults report from the panel members appointed to the Eighth Joint National Committee (JNC 8). J Am Med Assoc. 2014;311:507–520.
- Brook RD, Appel LJ, Rubenfire M, et al. Beyond medications and diet: alternative approaches to lowering blood pressure. *Hypertension*. 2013;61:1360–1383.
- Wang J, Xiong XJ. Evidence-based Chinese medicine for hypertension. *Evid Based Complement Alternat Med.* 2013;2013:e9783981– 12.
- 6. Vogel JH, Bolling SF, Costello RB, et al. Integrating complementary medicine into cardiovascular medicine. A report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation Task Force on Clinical Expert Consensus Documents (Writing Committee to Develop an Expert Consensus Document on Complementary and Integrative Medicine). J Am Coll Cardiol. 2005;46:184–221.
- Guo F, He D, Zhang W, et al. Trends in prevalence, awareness, management, and control of hypertension among United States adults, 1999 to 2010. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2012;60:599–606.
- Moser M, Setaro JF. Clinical practice: resistant or difficult-tocontrol hypertension. N Engl J Med. 2006;355:385–392.
- 12 | www.md-journal.com

- Mayer M. Qigong and hypertension: a critique of research. J Altern Complement Med. 1999;5:371–382.
- Wang J, Feng B, Yang XC, et al. Tai Chi for essential hypertension. *Evid Based Complement Alternat Med.* 2013;2013:e2152541-10.
- Flachskampf FA, Gallasch J, Gefeller O, et al. Randomized trial of acupuncture to lower blood pressure. *Circulation*. 2007;115:3121– 3129.
- 12. Xiong XJ, Liu W, Yang XC, et al. Moxibustion for essential hypertension. *Complement Ther Med.* 2014;22:187–195.
- Blom K, Baker B, How M, et al. Hypertension analysis of stress reduction using mindfulness meditation and yoga: results from the harmony randomized controlled trial. *Am J Hypertens*. 2014;27:122–129.
- Xiong XJ, Li SJ, Zhang YQ. Massage therapy for essential hypertension: a systematic review. *J Hum Hypertens*. 2014. doi: 10.1038/jhh. 2014.52.
- Li JJ, Lu ZL, Kou WR, et al. Impact of xuezhikang on coronary events in hypertensive patients with previous myocardial infarction from the China Coronary Secondary Prevention Study (CCSPS). *Ann Med.* 2010;42:231–240.
- Tachjian A, Maria V, Jahangir A. Use of herbal products and potential interactions in patients with cardiovascular diseases. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2010;55:515–525.
- Xiong XJ, Yang XC, Liu YM, et al. Chinese herbal formulas for treating hypertension in traditional Chinese medicine: perspective of modern science. *Hypertens Res.* 2013;36:570–579.
- Koh TC. Qigong: Chinese breathing exercise. Am J Chinese Med. 1982;10:86–91.
- Sancier KM. Therapeutic benefits of qigong exercises in combination with drugs. J Altern Complement Med. 1999;5:383–389.
- Lee MS, Hong SS, Lim HJ, et al. Retrospective survey on therapeutic efficacy of qigong in Korea. Am J Chinese Med. 2003;31:809–815.
- Lee MS, Pittler MH, Guo R, et al. Qigong for hypertension: a systematic review of randomized clinical trials. J Hypertens. 2007;25:1525–1532.
- Guo X, Zhou B, Nishimura T, et al. Clinical effect of qigong practice on essential hypertension: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. J Altern Complement Med. 2008;14:27–37.
- 23. Barrow DE, Bedford A, Ives G, et al. An evaluation of the effects of Tai Chi Chuan and Chi Kung training in patients with symptomatic heart failure: a randomised controlled pilot study. *Postgrad Med J.* 2007;83:717–721.
- Wang CX, Xu DH, Cai MD, et al. Qigong for the treatment of hypertension complicated with coronary heart disease. *Shanghai J Tradit Chin Med.* 1985;19:46–49.
- Chan CL, Wang CW, Ho RT, et al. A systematic review of the effectiveness of qigong exercise in cardiac rehabilitation. *Am J Chin Med.* 2012;40:255–267.
- Chen Z, Meng Z, Milbury K, et al. Qigong improves quality of life in women undergoing radiotherapy for breast cancer: results of a randomized controlled trial. *Cancer.* 2013;119:1690–1698.
- Sun GC, Lovejoy JC, Gillham S, et al. Effects of qigong on glucose control in type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care*. 2010;33:e81.
- Liu X, Miller YD, Burton NW, et al. A preliminary study of the effects of Tai Chi and Qigong medical exercise on indicators of metabolic syndrome, glycaemic control, health-related quality of life, and psychological health in adults with elevated blood glucose. *Br J Sports Med.* 2010;44:704–709.

Copyright © 2015 Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. All rights reserved.

- Chan AW, Lee A, Lee DT, et al. The sustaining effects of Tai chi Qigong on physiological health for COPD patients: a randomized controlled trial. *Complement Ther Med.* 2013;21:585–594.
- Lee MS, Ernst E. Qigong for movement disorders: a systematic review. *Movement Disord*. 2009;24:301–303.
- Lee MS, Pittler MH, Ernst E. Internal qigong for pain conditions: a systematic review. J Pain. 2009;10:1121–1127.
- Wu CY, Han HM, Huang MC, et al. Effect of qigong training on fatigue in haemodialysis patients: a non-randomized controlled trial. *Complement Ther Med.* 2014;22:244–250.
- 33. Wang CW, Chan CH, Ho RT, et al. Managing stress and anxiety through qigong exercise in healthy adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *BMC Complement Altern Med.* 2014;14:e81–9.
- 34. Wang CW, Chan CL, Ho RT, et al. The effect of qigong on depressive and anxiety symptoms: a systematic review and metaanalysis of randomized controlled trials. *Evid Based Complement Alternat Med.* 2013;2013:e7160941–13.
- 35. Tsang HW, Cheung L, Lak DC. Qigong as a psychosocial intervention for depressed elderly with chronic physical illnesses. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry*. 2002;17:1146–1154.
- Wang CW, Ng SM, Ho RT, et al. The effect of qigong exercise on immunity and infections: a systematic review of controlled trials. *Am J Chin Med.* 2012;40:1143–1156.
- Ng BH, Tsang HW. Psychophysiological outcomes of health qigong for chronic conditions: a systematic review. *Psychophysiol*ogy. 2009;46:257–269.
- Freeman SR, Hanik SA, Littlejohn ML, et al. Sit, breath, smile: effects of single and weekly seated qigong on blood pressure and quality of life in long-term care. *Complement Ther Clin Pract*. 2014;20:48–53.
- Ospina MB, Bond K, Karkhaneh M, et al. Clinical trials of meditation practices in health care: characteristics and quality. J Altern Complement Med. 2008;14:1199–1213.
- Birdee GS, Wayne PM, Davis RB, et al. T'ai Chi and qigong for health: patterns of use in the United States. J Altern Complement Med. 2009;15:969–973.
- Wu XY, Tang JL, Mao C, et al. Systematic reviews and metaanalyses of traditional Chinese medicine must search Chinese databases to reduce language bias. *Evid Based Complement Alternat Med.* 2013;2013:e8121791–7.
- Xiong XJ, Liu W, Yang XC, et al. Ginkgo biloba extract for essential hypertension: a systemic review. *Phytomedicine*. 2014;21:1131–1136.
- Higgins JPT, Green S. Cochrane Reviewers' Handbook 5.1.0 [updated March 2011], Review Manager (RevMan) [Computer program]. Version 5.1.0. www.cochrane-handbook.org.
- 44. Lee MS, Lee MS, Choi ES, et al. Effects of qigong on blood pressure, blood pressure determinants and ventilatory function in middle-aged patients with essential hypertension. *Am J Chinese Med.* 2003;31:489–497.
- Lee MS, Lim HJ, Lee MS, et al. Impact of qigong exercise on selfefficacy and other cognitive perceptual variables in patients with essential hypertension. J Altern Complement Med. 2004;10:675– 680.
- Cheung BMY, Lo JLF, Fong DYT, et al. Randomised controlled trial of qigong in the treatment of mild essential hypertension. *J Hum Hypertens.* 2005;19:697–704.
- 47. Lu ZC, Yu HP, Liu JW, et al. Comparative study on the therapeutic effects of a breathing exercise (qigong), jogging and drug therapy on essential hypertension. *Chin J Integr Tradit West Med.* 1987;7:462–464452.

- Liu JL, Yao SF, Zhou SF. Qigong exercise on atrial natriuretic peptides in hypertensive patients. *Chin J Rehab Med.* 1997;12:28– 29.
- Liang P. Effect of qigong on 40 cases senile hypertension. *Chin Qigong*. 1997;13:7.
- Ma WC, Wang ZM, Wei JL. Qigong exercise on essential hypertension. Shanxi Sport Sci Technol. 1999;19:37–39.
- Liu H. Effect of qigong on 53 cases of hypertensive patients. *Chin Qigong*. 1994;10:28–29.
- Wang L. Therapeutic effect of qigong on hypertension and its complicated cardiovascular and cerebrovascular diseases. *Chin Qigong*. 1991;7:20–22.
- Li W, Pi DR, Xing ZH, et al. Clinical study of qigong on hypertension. *Tradit Chin Med Res.* 1994;7:23–24.
- 54. Qian YC, Wang CX, Xu DH, et al. Discussion on the relationship between osteoporosis and kidney deficiency: effect of qigong on 40 cases of patients with hypertension in postmenopausal women. *Shanghai J Tradit Chin Med.* 1996;30:24–26.
- Lin H, Huang SJ. Role of wuqinxi qigong in promoting rehabilitation in elderly patients with hypertension. *Chin J Geriatr.* 2013;33:1465–1467.
- Li JP. Effect of qigong on plasma norepinephrine and serotonin in patients with essential hypertension. *Chin J Sports Med.* 1993;12:152–156.
- Wang CX, Xu DH, Qian YC, et al. Effect of qigong on improving cardiac function of the hypertensive patients complicated with coronary heart disease. *J Geriatr.* 1988;8:83–88128.
- 58. Wang CX, You CY, Zhao GS, et al. The efficacy of qigong (breathing exercise) and antihypertensive drug treatment in 426 hypertensive patients and the change of plasma dopamine-βhydroxylase activity. *Chin J Integr Tradit West Med.* 1982;2:1982;195:218–219.
- Wang CX, Xu DH, Qian YC, et al. Effect of qigong on the prevention of hypertensive cerebral apoplexy and its mechanism. *Chin J Geriatr.* 1994;14:90–92.
- Li W, Pi DR, Xing ZH, et al. Effect of qigong on 150 cases hypertensive patients and microcirculation, blood rheology changes. *Chin Qigong.* 1990;6:22–24.
- Wang CX, Xu DH, Qian YC, et al. Study on the effect of qigong on 100 cases senile hypertension and its mechanism. *Chin Qigong*. 1989;5:13–14.
- Li HY. Effect of medical qigong combined with antihypertensive drugs on 40 cases of hypertensive patients. *Chin J Integr Tradit West Med.* 1990;10:608.
- Yuan SX, Wang ZB, Zhu YL, et al. Effect of qigong on blood pressure and vaso-active substances in hypertension due to chronic nephritis. *Acta Univ Tradit Med Pharmacol Shanghai*. 1996;10:62– 65.
- Eisenberg DM, Davis RB, Ettner SL, et al. Trends in alternative medicine use in the United States, 1990–1997: results of a followup national survey. J Am Med Assoc. 1998;280:1569–1575.
- Ernst E. The role of complementary and alternative medicine. Br Med J. 2000;321:1133–1135.
- Liu X, Wu WY, Jiang BH, et al. Pharmacological tools for the development of traditional Chinese medicine. *Trends Pharmacol Sci.* 2013;34:620–628.
- Wang J, Xiong XJ. Current situation and perspectives of clinical study in integrative medicine in China. *Evid Based Complement Alternat Med.* 2012;2012:e2685421–11.
- Mansoor GA. Herbs and alternative therapies in the hypertension clinic. Am J Hypertens. 2001;14:971–975.

- Vora CK, Mansoor GA. Herbs and alternative therapies: relevance to hypertension and cardiovascular diseases. *Curr Hypertens Rep.* 2005;7:275–280.
- Ernst E. Complementary/alternative medicine for hypertension: a mini-review. Wien Med Wochenschr. 2005;123:386–391.
- Bell RA, Suerken CK, Grzywacz JG, et al. CAM use among older adults age 65 or older with hypertension in the United States: general use and disease treatment. *J Altern Complement Med.* 2006;12:903–909.
- Osamor PE, Owumi BE. Complementary and alternative medicine in the management of hypertension in an urban Nigerian community. *BMC Complement Altern Med.* 2010;10:e361–9.
- Nuwaha F, Musinguzi G. Use of alternative medicine for hypertension in Buikwe and Mukono districts of Uganda: a cross sectional study. *BMC Complement Altern Med.* 2013;13:e3011–6.
- Lee MS, Hong SS, Lim HJ, et al. Retrospective survey on therapeutic efficacy of qigong in Korea. *Am J Chin Med.* 2003;31:809–815.
- Shinnick P. Qigong: where did it come from? where does it fit in science? what are the advances? J Altern Complement Med. 2006;12:351–353.
- Biesinger E, Kipman U, Schätz S, et al. Qigong for the treatment of tinnitus: a prospective randomized controlled study. J Psychosom Res. 2010;69:299–304.
- 77. Chan JS, Ho RT, Wang CW, et al. Effects of qigong exercise on fatigue, anxiety, and depressive symptoms of patients with chronic fatigue syndrome-like illness: a randomized controlled trial. *Evid Based Complement Alternat Med.* 2013;2013:e4853411–8.
- Shin YI, Lee MS. Qi therapy (external qigong) for chronic fatigue syndrome: case studies. Am J Chin Med. 2005;33:139–141.
- Oh B, Choi SM, Inamori A, et al. Effects of qigong on depression: a systemic review. *Evid Based Complement Alternat Med.* 2013;2013:e1347371-8.
- Ho TJ, Christiani DC, Ma TC, et al. Effect of qigong on quality of life: a cross-sectional population-based comparison study in Taiwan. *BMC Public Health*. 2011;11:e5461-6.
- Tsang HW, Lee JL, Au DW, et al. Developing and testing the effectiveness of a novel health qigong for frail elders in Hong Kong: a preliminary study. *Evid Based Complement Alternat Med.* 2013;2013:e8273921-11.
- Rogers CE, Larkey LK, Keller C. A review of clinical trials of tai chi and qigong in older adults. West J Nurs Res. 2009;31:245–279.
- Lee MS, Oh B, Ernst E. Qigong for healthcare: an overview of systematic reviews. J R Soc Med Sh Rep. 2011;2:e71–5.
- Lewington S, Clarke R, Qizilbash N, et al., Prospective studies collaboration. Age-specific relevance of usual blood pressure to vascular mortality: a meta-analysis of individual data for one million adults in 61 prospective studies. *Lancet.* 2002;360:1903– 1913.
- Aronow WS, Fleg JL, Pepine CJ, et al. ACCF/AHA 2011 expert consensus document on hypertension in the elderly: a report of the American college of cardiology foundation task force on clinical expert consensus documents. *Circulation*. 2011;123:2434–2506.
- Cornelissen VA, Buys R, Smart NA. Endurance exercise beneficially affects ambulatory blood pressure: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Hypertens. 2013;31:639–648.
- Johnson BT, MacDonald HV, Bruneau ML Jr et al. Methodological quality of meta-analyses on the blood pressure response to exercise: a review. J Hypertens. 2014;32:706–723.

- García-Hermoso A, Saavedra JM, Escalante Y. Effects of exercise on resting blood pressure in obese children: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Obes Rev.* 2013;14:919–928.
- Whelton SP, Chin A, Xin X, et al. Effect of aerobic exercise on blood pressure: a meta-analysis of randomized, controlled trials. *Ann Intern Med.* 2002;136:493–503.
- Mellen PB, Palla SL, Goff DC Jr et al. Prevalence of nutrition and exercise counseling for patients with hypertension: United States, 1999 to 2000. J Gen Intern Med. 2004;19:917–924.
- Tang KC. Qigong therapy: its effectiveness and regulation. Am J Chin Med. 1994;22:235–242.
- Sancier KM. Medical applications of qigong. Altern Ther Health Med. 1996;2:40–46.
- Law MR, Morris JK, Wald NJ. Use of blood pressure lowering drugs in the prevention of cardiovascular disease: meta-analysis of 147 randomised trials in the context of expectations from prospective epidemiological studies. *Br Med J.* 2009;338:1245–1253.
- 94. Mao C, Chung VC, Yuan JQ, et al. Evaluation of the add-on effect of Chinese patent medicine for patients with stable or unstable angina: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Evid Based Complement Alternat Med.* 2013;2013:e6731931–15.
- Chang C. Is integrative medicine the next new frontier in medicine? *Clin Rev Allergy Immunol.* 2013;44:205–207.
- Wang J, Feng B, Yang XC, et al. Tianma gouteng yin as adjunctive treatment for essential hypertension: a systematic review of randomized controlled trials. *Evid Based Complement Alternat Med.* 2013;2013:e7061251–18.
- Tsang IK. Establishing the efficacy of traditional Chinese medicine. Nat Clin Pract Rheumatol. 2007;3:60–61.
- Bell IR, Caspi O, Schwartz GE, et al. Integrative medicine and systemic outcomes research: issues in the emergence of a new model for primary health care. *Arch Intern Med.* 2002;162:133– 140.
- Wong R, Sagar CM, Sagar SM. Integration of Chinese medicine into supportive cancer care: a modern role for an ancient tradition. *Cancer Treat Rev.* 2001;27:235–246.
- Lawes CM, Hoorn SV, Rodgers A. for the International Society of Hypertension. Global burden of blood-pressure-related disease, 2001. *Lancet.* 2008;371:1513–1518.
- 101. Rossi A, Dikareva A, Bacon SL, et al. The impact of physical activity on mortality in patients with high blood pressure: a systematic review. J Hypertens. 2012;30:1277–1288.
- Harmsworth K, Lewith GT. Attitudes to traditional Chinese medicine amongst western trained doctors in the People's Republic of China. Soc Sci Med. 2001;52:149–153.
- Kinsel JF, Straus SE. Complementary and alternative therapeutics: rigorous research is needed to support claims. *Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol.* 2003;43:463–484.
- 104. Vickers A, Goyal N, Harland R, et al. Do certain countries produce only positive results? A systematic review of controlled trials. *Control Clin Trials.* 1998;19:159–166.
- Lee S. Chinese hypnosis can cause qigong induced mental disorders. Br Med J. 2000;320:803.
- Ng BY. Qigong-induced mental disorders: a review. Aust N Z J Psychiatry. 1999;33:197–206.
- 107. Xu SH. Psychophysiological reactions associated with qigong therapy. *Chin Med J (Engl).* 1994;107:230–233.