



A Corollary of the B-function Lemma

Citation

Beilinson, Alexander, and Dennis Gaitsgory. 2011. A corollary of the b-function lemma. *Selecta Mathematica*, ns., 18(2): 319-327.

Published Version

doi://10.1007/s00029-011-0078-7

Permanent link

<http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:10043336>

Terms of Use

This article was downloaded from Harvard University's DASH repository, and is made available under the terms and conditions applicable to Open Access Policy Articles, as set forth at <http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:dash.current.terms-of-use#OAP>

Share Your Story

The Harvard community has made this article openly available.
Please share how this access benefits you. [Submit a story](#).

[Accessibility](#)

A COROLLARY OF THE B-FUNCTION LEMMA

A. BEILINSON AND D. GAITSGORY

1. THE STATEMENT

1.1. Let X be a smooth algebraic variety over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic 0. Let f be a function on X ; let Y be the locus of zeros of f , and $j : U \hookrightarrow X$ the open embedding of the complement of Y . Let D_X be the sheaf of differential operators on X , and let \mathcal{M} be a holonomic (left) D -module on U .

Let us tensor D_X with the ring of polynomials in one variable $k[s]$. I.e., let us consider the sheaf $D_X[s]$, and the corresponding category of (left) $D_X[s]$ -modules (we follow the conventions in the theory of D -modules, where we only consider sheaves of D_X - or $D_X[s]$ -modules that are quasi-coherent as sheaves of \mathcal{O}_X -modules).

Consider now the $D_U[s]$ -module “ f^s ”. By definition, as $\mathcal{O}_U[s]$ module, it is free of rank one with the generator that we denote f^s , and vector fields acting on it by the formula

$$\xi(f^s) = s \cdot \xi(f) \cdot f^{s-1},$$

where $f^{s-1} := f^{-1} \cdot f^s$.

Consider the $D_U[s]$ -module $\mathcal{M} \otimes \text{“}f^s\text{”} := \mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_U} \text{“}f^s\text{”}$, and the $D_X[s]$ -module

$$j_*(\mathcal{M} \otimes \text{“}f^s\text{”}).$$

It is easy to see that in general $j_*(\mathcal{M} \otimes \text{“}f^s\text{”})$ is not finitely generated as a $D_X[s]$ -module:

Example. Consider $X = \mathbb{A}^1 := \text{Spec}(k[t])$, $f = t$, $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{O}_X$. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ be the $D_X[s]$ -submodule of $j_*(\text{“}f^s\text{”})$, generated by the section f^s . It is easy to see that we have an isomorphism

$$j_*(\text{“}f^s\text{”})/\tilde{\mathcal{M}} \simeq \bigoplus_{n=0,1,2,\dots} (\delta_0 \otimes (k[s]/s - n)),$$

where δ_0 is the δ -function at $0 \in \mathbb{A}^1$, thought of as a left D -module on \mathbb{A}^1 , and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is regarded as a point of $k \subset \text{Spec}(k[s])$.

1.2. The goal of this note is to describe the set $\mathbf{V}(\mathcal{M})$ of all $D_X[s]$ -submodules $\tilde{\mathcal{M}} \subset j_*(\mathcal{M} \otimes \text{“}f^s\text{”})$, such that $j^*(\tilde{\mathcal{M}}) = \mathcal{M} \otimes \text{“}f^s\text{”}$, and the subset $\mathbf{V}_f(\mathcal{M}) \subset \mathbf{V}(\mathcal{M})$ that corresponds to those $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ that are finitely generated as $D_X[s]$ -modules.

For $\tilde{\mathcal{M}} \in \mathbf{V}(\mathcal{M})$ and a point $\lambda \in k \subset \text{Spec}(k[s])$ consider the D_X -module $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_\lambda := \tilde{\mathcal{M}}/(s - \lambda)$. We have the canonical maps

$$j_!(\mathcal{M} \otimes \text{“}f^\lambda\text{”}) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_\lambda \rightarrow j_*(\mathcal{M} \otimes \text{“}f^\lambda\text{”}),$$

where $\mathcal{M} \otimes \text{“}f^\lambda\text{”} := \mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_U} \text{“}f^\lambda\text{”}$ denotes the corresponding D -module over U .

Date: October 4, 2011.

To state our main result, we shall adopt the following conventions. By an arithmetic progression in k we shall mean a coset of k modulo \mathbb{Z} . Let $\Lambda \subset k$ be a subset equal to union of finitely many arithmetic progressions. We say that some property of an element of Λ holds for $\lambda \gg 0$ (resp., $\lambda \ll 0$), if it holds for elements of the form $\lambda_0 + n$ for any fixed $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda$, whenever $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ is sufficiently large (resp., small).

We now are ready to state our theorem:

Theorem 1. *There exist a subset $\Lambda \subset k$ equal to the union of finitely many arithmetic progressions such that for any $\tilde{\mathcal{M}} \in \mathbf{V}_f(\mathcal{M})$ we have:*

(1) *For $\lambda \notin \Lambda$ the maps*

$$j_!(\mathcal{M} \otimes "f^\lambda") \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_\lambda \rightarrow j_*(\mathcal{M} \otimes "f^\lambda")$$

are isomorphisms. In particular, $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_\lambda \simeq j_{!}(\mathcal{M} \otimes "f^\lambda")$.*

(2) *For $\lambda \in \Lambda$ with $\lambda \ll 0$, the map $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_\lambda \rightarrow j_*(\mathcal{M} \otimes "f^\lambda")$ is an isomorphism.*

(3) *For $\lambda \in \Lambda$ with $\lambda \gg 0$, the map $j_!(\mathcal{M} \otimes "f^\lambda") \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_\lambda$ is an isomorphism.*

Note that assertion of the theorem provides an algorithm for computing $j_!(\mathcal{M})$. Namely, we must pick any finitely generated submodule $\tilde{\mathcal{M}} \subset j_*(\mathcal{M} \otimes "f^s")$, such that $j^*(\tilde{\mathcal{M}}) \simeq \mathcal{M} \otimes "f^s"$, and

$$j_!(\mathcal{M}) \simeq \tilde{\mathcal{M}}/s - n$$

for a sufficiently large integer n .

2. A REFORMULATION

2.1. We shall derive Theorem 1 from a slightly more precise assertion. Before stating it, let us recall the following result, which is a well-known consequence of the b-function lemma (the proof will be recalled for completeness in the next section).

In what follows, if P is a module over $k[s]$ and λ is an element of $k \subset \text{Spec}(k[s])$, we shall denote by $P_{(\lambda)}$ the localization of P at the corresponding maximal ideal, i.e., $s - \lambda$.

We are going to study $D_X[s]_{(\lambda)}$ -submodules $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{(\lambda)} \subset j_*(\mathcal{M} \otimes "f^s")_{(\lambda)}$ such that $j^*(\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{(\lambda)}) = (\mathcal{M} \otimes "f^s")_{(\lambda)}$. We shall denote this set by $\mathbf{V}(\mathcal{M}_{(\lambda)})$.

Theorem 2. *For any $\lambda \in k$ the following holds:*

(A) *The $D_X[s]_{(\lambda)}$ -module $j_*(\mathcal{M} \otimes "f^s")_{(\lambda)}$ is finitely generated. Denote it $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{(\lambda)}^{max}$.*

(B) *The set $\mathbf{V}(\mathcal{M}_{(\lambda)})$ contains the minimal element. Denote it $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{(\lambda)}^{min}$. Moreover, we have:*

(B.1) *The quotient $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{(\lambda)}^{max}/\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{(\lambda)}^{min}$ is $(s - \lambda)$ -torsion.*

(B.2) *The natural map $j_!(\mathcal{M} \otimes "f^\lambda") \rightarrow (\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{(\lambda)}^{min})/s - \lambda$ is an isomorphism.*

(C) *There exists a subset $\Lambda \subset k$ equal to the union of finitely many arithmetic progressions such for $\lambda \notin \Lambda$, $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{(\lambda)}^{min} = \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{(\lambda)}^{max}$.*

2.2. The strengthening of Theorem 1 mentioned above reads as follows:

Theorem 3. *Let Λ be as above, and let $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ be an element of $\mathbf{V}(\mathcal{M})$.*

(I) *For $\lambda \notin \Lambda$, the maps*

$$\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{(\lambda)}^{\min} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{(\lambda)} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{(\lambda)}^{\max}$$

are isomorphisms.

(II) *The map $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{(\lambda)} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{(\lambda)}^{\max}$ is an isomorphism for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$ that are $\ll 0$.*

(III) *The element $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ belongs to $\mathbf{V}_f(\mathcal{M})$ if and only if the map $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{(\lambda)}^{\min} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{(\lambda)}$ is an isomorphism for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$ that are $\gg 0$.*

2.3. Let us first see some obvious implications. First, point (C) of Theorem 2 implies point (I) of Theorem 3. Combined with point (B.2) of Theorem 2, point (I) of Theorem 3 implies point (1) of Theorem 1.

Point (II) of Theorem 3 implies point (2) of Theorem 1. Point (III) of Theorem 3, combined with point (B.2) of Theorem 2 implies point (3) of Theorem 1.

Finally, the "only if" direction Theorem 3(III), combined with point (A) of Theorem 2, implies the "if" direction.

Furthermore, we have the following corollaries:

Corollary 1. *Specifying an element $\tilde{\mathcal{M}} \in \mathbf{V}(\mathcal{M})$ is equivalent to specifying, for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, of an element $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{(\lambda)} \in \mathbf{V}(\mathcal{M}_{(\lambda)})$, such that $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{(\lambda)} = \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{(\lambda)}^{\max}$ for all λ that are $\ll 0$.*

Corollary 2. *Let $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}^1$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}^2$ be elements of $\mathbf{V}_f(\mathcal{M})$. Then the localizations $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{(\lambda)}^1$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{(\lambda)}^2$ coincide for all but finitely many elements $\lambda \in k$.*

2.4. We shall now give a description of the set $\mathbf{V}(\mathcal{M}_{(\lambda)})$, appearing in Corollary 1, in terms of a vanishing cycles datum. With no restriction of generality, we can assume that $\lambda = 0$.

Recall that Sect. 4.2 of [2] identifies the quotient $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{(0)}^{\max} / \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{(0)}^{\min}$, which is a $D_X[s]_{(0)}$ -module set-theoretically supported on $Y = X - U$, with the D-module $\Psi^{\text{nilp}}(\mathcal{M})$ of nilpotent nearby cycles of \mathcal{M} , with the action of s on it being the nilpotent "logarithm of monodromy" operator.

Thus, elements \mathcal{N} of $\mathbf{V}(\mathcal{M}_{(0)})$ are in bijection with s -stable D_X -submodules

$$\mathcal{K} \subset \Psi^{\text{nilp}}(\mathcal{M}).$$

For each \mathcal{K} as above, let us describe more explicitly the corresponding D_X -module $\mathcal{N}_0 := \mathcal{N}/s$. By [1], \mathcal{N}_0 is completely determined by the corresponding D-module of vanishing cycles $\Phi^{\text{nilp}}(\mathcal{N}_0)$, together with maps

$$\Psi^{\text{nilp}}(\mathcal{M}) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{c}} \Phi^{\text{nilp}}(\mathcal{N}_0) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{v}} \Psi^{\text{nilp}}(\mathcal{M}),$$

such that the composition $\mathbf{v} \circ \mathbf{c} : \Psi^{\text{nilp}}(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \Psi^{\text{nilp}}(\mathcal{M})$ equals s .

It is easy to see that $\Phi^{\text{nilp}}(\mathcal{N}_0)$ is given in terms of \mathcal{K} by either of the following two expressions:

$$\text{coker} \left(\mathcal{K} \xrightarrow{\iota \oplus s} \Psi^{\text{nilp}}(\mathcal{M}) \oplus \mathcal{K} \right)$$

or

$$\ker \left(\Psi^{nilp}(\mathcal{M})/\mathcal{K} \oplus \Psi^{nilp}(\mathcal{M}) \xrightarrow{s \oplus \pi} \Psi^{nilp}(\mathcal{M})/\mathcal{K} \right),$$

where $\iota : \mathcal{K} \hookrightarrow \Psi^{nilp}(\mathcal{M})$ and $\pi : \Psi^{nilp}(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \Psi^{nilp}(\mathcal{M})/\mathcal{K}$ are the natural embedding and projection, respectively. The above kernel and co-kernel are identified by means of the map $\Psi^{nilp}(\mathcal{M}) \oplus \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \Psi^{nilp}(\mathcal{M})/\mathcal{K} \oplus \Psi^{nilp}$ which has the following non-zero components:

$$-s : \Psi^{nilp}(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \Psi^{nilp}(\mathcal{M}); \iota : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \Psi^{nilp}(\mathcal{M}); \pi : \Psi^{nilp}(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \Psi^{nilp}(\mathcal{M})/\mathcal{K}.$$

The map \mathbf{c} is the composition

$$\Psi^{nilp}(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \Psi^{nilp}(\mathcal{M}) \oplus \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \Phi^{nilp}(\mathcal{N}_0),$$

and the map \mathbf{v} is the composition

$$\Phi^{nilp}(\mathcal{N}_0) \rightarrow \Psi^{nilp}(\mathcal{M})/\mathcal{K} \oplus \Psi^{nilp}(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \Psi^{nilp}(\mathcal{M}).$$

We note that the !-restriction of \mathcal{N}_0 to Y is then

$$\mathrm{Cone}(\Psi^{nilp}(\mathcal{M})/\mathcal{K} \xrightarrow{s} \Psi^{nilp}(\mathcal{M})/\mathcal{K})[-1],$$

and the *-restriction of \mathcal{N}_0 to Y is $\mathrm{Cone}(\mathcal{K} \xrightarrow{s} \mathcal{K})$.

3. PROOFS

3.1. As all statements are local, we can assume that X is affine. First, let us recall the statement of the usual b-function lemma:

Lemma 1. (J. Bernstein) *Let \mathcal{M} be as in Sect. 1.1, and let m_1, \dots, m_n be generators of \mathcal{M} as a D_U -module. Then there exist elements $P_{i,j} \in D_X[s]$ and an element $\mathbf{b} \in k[s]$ such that for every i*

$$\sum_j P_{i,j}(m_j \otimes f^s) = \mathbf{b} \cdot (m_i \otimes f^{s-1}).$$

Let us deduce some of the statements of Theorems 2 and 3:

3.2. First, it is clear that for $\lambda \in k$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$\left((\lambda - n) - \mathbb{N} \right) \cap \mathrm{roots}(\mathbf{b}) = \emptyset,$$

the elements $m_i \otimes f^{s-n}$ generate $j_*(\mathcal{M} \otimes "f^s")_{(\lambda)}$ as a $D_X[s]_{(\lambda)}$ -module. This implies point (A) of Theorem 2.

Set

$$\Lambda = \mathbb{Z} + \mathrm{roots}(\mathbf{b}).$$

Point (C) of Theorem 2 and point (II) of Theorem 3 follow as well.

3.3. Note that we also obtain that the $D_X \otimes k(s)$ -module $j_*(\mathcal{M} \otimes "f^s") \otimes_{k[s]} k(s)$ does not have proper submodules, whose restriction to U is $(\mathcal{M} \otimes "f^s") \otimes_{k[s]} k(s)$.

This proves point (B.1) of Theorem 2 modulo the existence of $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{(\lambda)}^{min}$.

3.4. To prove point (B) of Theorem 2 and the remaining "only if" direction of Theorem 3(III), we shall use a duality argument.

Let A be a localization of a smooth k -algebra (we shall take A to be either $k[s]$ or $k[s]_{(\lambda)}$, or $k(s)$). Let $n = \dim(X)$. Consider the ring $D_X \otimes A$.

Let $D_{coh}^b(D_X \otimes A\text{-mod})$ (resp., $D_{coh}^b(\text{mod-}D_X \otimes A)$) denote the bounded derived category of left (resp., right) $D_X \otimes A$ -modules with coherent cohomologies.

Consider the contravariant functor

$$\mathbb{D}_A : D_{coh}^b(D_X \otimes A\text{-mod}) \rightarrow D_{coh}^b(D_X \otimes A\text{-mod}),$$

defined by composing the contravariant functor

$$\mathcal{M} \mapsto \text{RHom}(\mathcal{M}, D_X \otimes A),$$

which maps

$$D_{coh}^b(D_X \otimes A\text{-mod}) \rightarrow D_{coh}^b(\text{mod-}D_X \otimes A),$$

followed by tensor product with $\omega_X^{-1}[n]$ that maps $D_{coh}^b(\text{mod-}D_X \otimes A)$ back to $D_{coh}^b(D_X \otimes A\text{-mod})$. The same argument as in the case of usual D-modules shows that $\mathbb{D}_A \circ \mathbb{D}_A \simeq \text{Id}$.

We have the following basic property of the functor \mathbb{D}_A : let $A \rightarrow B$ be a homomorphism between k -algebras, and let \mathcal{N} be an object of $D_{coh}^b(D_X \otimes A\text{-mod})$. We have:

$$(1) \quad \mathbb{D}_B \left(B \begin{array}{c} L \\ \otimes \\ A \end{array} \mathcal{N} \right) \simeq B \begin{array}{c} L \\ \otimes \\ A \end{array} \mathbb{D}_A(\mathcal{N}).$$

In particular, for $\mathcal{M} \in D_{coh}^b(D_X\text{-mod})$, we have $\mathbb{D}_A(\mathcal{M} \otimes A) \simeq \mathbb{D}(\mathcal{M}) \otimes A$, where \mathbb{D} denotes the usual duality on $D_{coh}^b(D_X\text{-mod})$.

3.5. First, let us note that $\mathbb{D}_{k[s]}(\mathcal{M} \otimes "f^s")$ is acyclic off cohomological degree 0, and

$$\mathbb{D}_{k[s]}(\mathcal{M} \otimes "f^s") \stackrel{\sigma}{\simeq} \mathbb{D}(\mathcal{M}) \otimes "f^s",$$

where σ means that the action of $k[s]$ on the two sides differs by the automorphism $\sigma : k[s] \rightarrow k[s], \sigma(s) = -s$.

Let now \mathcal{N} be an element of $\mathbf{V}(\mathcal{M}_{(\lambda)})$; in particular, \mathcal{N} is finitely generated over $D_X[s]_{(\lambda)}$ by Theorem 2(A). We shall prove:

Lemma 2.

- (a) *The $D_X[s]_{(\lambda)}$ -module $\mathbb{D}_{k[s]_{(\lambda)}}(\mathcal{N})$ is concentrated in cohomological degree zero.*
- (b) *The canonical map*

$$\mathbb{D}_{k[s]_{(\lambda)}}(\mathcal{N}) \rightarrow j_* \left(\mathbb{D}_{k[s]_{(\lambda)}} \left((\mathcal{M} \otimes "f^s")_{(\lambda)} \right) \right) \stackrel{\sigma}{\simeq} j_* (\mathbb{D}(\mathcal{M}) \otimes "f^s")_{(-\lambda)}$$

is an injection.

For the proof of the lemma see Sect. 3.7 below.

3.6. End of proofs of the theorems. The above lemma implies point (B) of Theorem 2 and the "if" direction in Theorem 3(III):

For point (B) of Theorem 2, the sought-for submodule $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{(\lambda)}^{min}$ is given by

$$\mathbb{D}_{k[s]_{(\lambda)}}(j_*(\mathbb{D}(\mathcal{M}) \otimes "f^s")_{(-\lambda)}).$$

Point (B.2) follows from equation (1).

For a finitely generated submodule $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ as in point (III) of Theorem 3, the map

$$\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{(\lambda)}^{min} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{(\lambda)}$$

is an isomorphism whenever the corresponding map

$$(\mathbb{D}_{k[s]}(\tilde{\mathcal{M}}))_{(-\lambda)} \rightarrow j_*(\mathbb{D}(\mathcal{M}) \otimes "f^s")_{(-\lambda)}$$

is an isomorphism.

3.7. Proof of Lemma 2. We shall use the following corollary of Lemma 1, established in [3]:

Corollary 3. *The $D_X \otimes k(s)$ -module $j_*(\mathcal{M} \otimes "f^s") \otimes_{k[s]} k(s)$ is holonomic.*

From the corollary, we obtain that non-zero cohomologies of $\mathbb{D}_{k[s]_{(\lambda)}}(\mathcal{N})$ are s -torsion. Hence, to prove point (a), it is enough to show that

$$(2) \quad k \otimes_{k[s]_{(\lambda)}}^L \mathbb{D}_{k[s]_{(\lambda)}}(\mathcal{N})$$

is acyclic off cohomological degree 0.

This acyclicity would also imply that $\mathbb{D}_{k[s]_{(\lambda)}}(\mathcal{N})$ has no s -torsion. Combined with Sect. 3.3, this would imply point (b) of the lemma as well.

Using isomorphism (1), the acyclicity of (2) is equivalent to $k \otimes_{k[s]_{(\lambda)}}^L \mathcal{N} =: \mathcal{N}_\lambda$ being holonomic. The latter is true for $\mathcal{N} = j_*(\mathcal{M} \otimes "f^s")_{(\lambda)}$, since in this case $\mathcal{N}_\lambda \simeq j_*(\mathcal{M} \otimes "f^\lambda")$, which is known to be holonomic.

For any \mathcal{N} we argue as follows. We note that $j_*(\mathcal{M} \otimes "f^s")_{(\lambda)}/\mathcal{N}$, being finitely generated over $D_X \otimes k[s]_{(\lambda)}$ and $(s - \lambda)$ -torsion, is finitely generated over D_X . Since $(j_*(\mathcal{M} \otimes "f^s")_{(\lambda)}/\mathcal{N})/s - \lambda$ is holonomic, being a quotient of $j_*(\mathcal{M} \otimes "f^s")_{(\lambda)}/s - \lambda$, we obtain that $j_*(\mathcal{M} \otimes "f^s")_{(\lambda)}/\mathcal{N}$ is itself holonomic as a D_X -module.

We have a map

$$\mathcal{N}_\lambda \rightarrow j_*(\mathcal{M} \otimes "f^\lambda"),$$

whose kernel and cokernel are subquotients of $j_*(\mathcal{M} \otimes "f^s")_{(\lambda)}/\mathcal{N}$, which implies that \mathcal{N}_λ is holonomic as well. \square

3.8. An alternative argument. We can prove that $\mathbb{D}_{k[s]_{(\lambda)}}(\mathcal{N})$ lies in cohomological degree 0 directly, without quoting Corollary 3. Namely, we have the following general assertion that follows from the usual Nakayama lemma:

Lemma 3. *Let B be a filtered k -algebra such that $\text{gr}(B)$ is a commutative finitely generated algebra over k . Let R be a localization of a commutative finitely generated k -algebra at a maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} . Then if \mathcal{P} is a finitely generated $R \otimes B$ -module, such that $\mathcal{P}/\mathfrak{m} \cdot \mathcal{P} = 0$, then $\mathcal{P} = 0$.*

Hence, Lemma 3 implies that the acyclicity of (2) implies that $\mathbb{D}_{k[s]_{(\lambda)}}(\mathcal{N})$ lies in cohomological degree 0, i.e., point (a) of Lemma 2.

In particular, we can apply Lemma 2(a) to $j_*(\mathcal{M} \otimes "f^s")$, and isomorphism (1) to the homomorphism $k[s] \rightarrow k(s)$. We conclude that $\mathbb{D}_{k(s)} \left(j_*(\mathcal{M} \otimes "f^s") \otimes_{k[s]} k(s) \right)$ lies in cohomological degree 0, i.e., that $j_*(\mathcal{M} \otimes "f^s") \otimes_{k[s]} k(s)$ is holonomic. This reproves Corollary 3.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Beilinson, *How to glue perverse sheaves*, in: *K-theory, arithmetic and geometry* (Moscow, 1984–1986), pp. 42–51, Lecture Notes in Math., **1289**, Springer, Berlin, 1987.
- [2] A. Beilinson, J. Bernstein, *A proof of Jantzen's conjectures*, in: I. M. Gel'fand Seminar, pp. 1–50, Adv. Soviet Math., **16**, Part 1, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1993.
- [3] J. Bernstein, *Algebraic theory of D-modules*, lecture notes, available as .ps file at <http://www.math.uchicago.edu/~mitya/langlands.html>.

A.B.: DEPT. OF MATH, THE UNIV. OF CHICAGO, 5734 UNIVERSITY AVE., CHICAGO IL, 60637

D.G.: DEPT. OF MATH., HARVARD UNIV., 1 OXFORD STR., CAMBRIDGE MA, 02138

E-mail address: A.B.: sasha@math.uchicago.edu, D.G.: gaitsgde@math.harvard.edu